

# INFORMATION ON RISK, OWN FUNDS, CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS, REMUNERATION POLICY AND OTHER INFORMATION

disclosed according to part eight of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms

> Warsaw, 16 February 2015 Updated on 2 September, 2015

# CONTENTS

١.	In	troduction4
١١.	Ri	sk management objectives and strategies5
III.		Own funds (CRR Art. 437)10
II	1.1	Description of key components of own funds (CRR art. 437.1)13
	1.2 inar	Reconciliation of items of own funds and equity reported in the audited ncial report
II	1.3	Items not deducted from own funds17
N tl a	he i cco	Own Funds in accordance with the EU Commission Implementing Regulation 423/2013 of 20.12.2013, establishing the implementing technical standards to requirements for disclosure of information on the institution's own funds, ording to the Regulation of the European Parliament and Council Regulation No 575/2013
IV.		Capital requirements
Ŋ	1.1	Approach to assessing the adequacy of internal capital (CRR Article 438.a) 30
ir		Upon demand from the relevant competent authority, the result of the tution's internal capital adequacy assessment process including the position of the additional own funds requirements (CRR Article 438.b)31
	V.3 ach	Amounts equal to 8% of risk-weighted exposure, calculated separately for a exposure class defined in Article 147 of CRR
	√.4 urr	Capital requirements for position risk, large exposures limits excess, ency, settlement and commodities risks
	√.5 isk	Capital requirements for operational risks and losses borne by operational 33
۷.	Ex	posure to counterparty credit risk (CRR Article 439)
VI. 441		Capital buffers, Indicators of global systemic importance (CRR Articles 440, 36
VII.		Credit risk adjustments (CRR Article 442)36
VIII	•	Encumbered assets (CRR Article 443)41
IX.		Use of ECAIs (CRR Article 444)42
Х.	Ex	posure to market risk (CRR Article 445)42
XI.		Operational risk (CRR Article 446)42
XII.		Exposures in equities not included in the trading book (CRR Article 447)43
XIII (CR		Exposure to interest rate risk on positions not included in the trading book rticle 448)44
XIV	•	Exposure to securitisation positions (CRR Article 449)44
XV.		Remuneration policy (CRR Article 450)44
XVI	•	Leverage (CRR Article 451)49

XVII. Qualifying requirements for the use of particular instruments and methodologies - use of the IRB approach to credit risk (CRR Article 452)......51

XVII.1 Competent authority's approval to use the IRB Approach (CRR Article 452.a) 51

XVII.2 Structure of internal rating systems and relation between internal and external ratings (CRR Article 452.b.i)
XVII.3 Use of internal estimates other than for calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts (CRR Article 452.b.ii)
XVII.4 Description of the process for managing and recognizing credit risk mitigation (CRR Article 452.b.iii)
XVII.5 Control mechanisms for rating systems and rating systems review (CRR Article 452.b.iv)
XVII.6 Description of the internal ratings process (CRR Article 452.c)56
XVII.6.1 Central governments and central banks (CRR Article 452.c.i)56
XVII.6.2 Institutions (CRR Article 452.c.ii)
XVII.6.3 Corporates, including SMEs, specialised lending and purchased corporate receivables (CRR Article 452.c.iii)
XVII.6.4 Retail exposures (CRR Article 452.c.iv)56
XVII.6.5 Equities (CRR Article 452.c.v)58
XVII.6.6 Exposure values by exposure classes, including the value of outstanding loans (balance sheet), unused commitments (off-balance sheet), average risk weights, average risk-weighted exposure amounts (CRR Article 452.d.e) 59
XVII.6.7 Amount of retail exposures in respective rating classes grouped by classes to allow for a meaningful differentiation of credit risk (CRR Article 452.f) 60
XVII.6.8 Actual specific credit risk adjustments for each exposure class (CRR Article 452.g)
XVII.6.9 Description of the factors that impacted on the loss experience, in conjunction with the actual results in a longer term. (CRR Article 452.h.i.j.)61
XVII.7 Use of credit risk mitigation techniques (CRR Article 453)63
XVIII. Declaration of the Management Board

# I. Introduction

Pursuant to the requirements set forth in Part Eight of the Regulation (EU) No. 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms and amending Regulation (EU) No. 648/2012 ("CRR"), this material ("Disclosures") presents qualitative and quantitative information pertaining to the comprehensive image of the risk profile of the Bank Millennium S.A. ("Bank") Capital Group ("Group") as at 31 December 2014.

Pursuant to Article 432.1 of CRR, the Group omitted in its disclosures any information that is not regarded as material. The Group regards as immaterial any information the omission or misstatement of which could not change or influence the assessment or decision of a user relying on that information for the purpose of making economic decisions.

Pursuant to Article 432.2, the Group may omit in its disclosures any items of information which is regarded as proprietary or confidential. Information is regarded as proprietary if the Group believes that disclosing it publicly would undermine its competitive position. Information is regarded as confidential if the Group has made an obligation to a customer or other counterparty binding it to confidentiality.

It should be noted that this material does not cover the entire scope of information to be disclosed, as defined in Part Eight of CRR. Information not included in these disclosures has been presented in the following documents:

- Report of the Bank Millennium SA Capital Group for 12 months ended 31 December 2014,
- Report of Bank Millennium SA for 12 months ended 31 December 2014, hereinafter referred to as "Yearly Financial Reports"
- Management Board Report on the Activity of the Bank Millennium Capital Group for 12 months ended 31 December 2014,
- Management Board Report on the Activity of Bank Millennium for 12 months ended 31 December 2014,

hereinafter referred to as: "Management Board Activity Reports"

Disclosures of information required by Part Eight of CRR in other documents is regulated by Article 434.2 of CRR.

The information presented has been prepared on the basis of the top domestic consolidation level (Bank Millennium SA Group).

All figures are stated in thousands of Polish zloty (PLN).

# II. Risk management objectives and strategies<sup>1</sup>

The Group has prepared a comprehensive guideline document for the risk management policy/strategy: "Risk Strategy for 2015-2017" (2014-2016 version was in force previously). The document takes a 3-year perspective and is reviewed and updated annually. It is approved by the Bank's Management Board and Supervisory Board. The risk strategy is inextricably linked to other strategic documents, such as: Budget, Liquidity Plan, Capital Plan.

The Risk Strategy contains a number of objectives for the risk types regarded as material. Among others, the following should be mentioned:

- Target structure of the loan portfolio,
- Credit portfolio quality indicators,
- Cost of risk,
- Capital requirements/economic capital,
- Required liquidity level and structure,
- Limits and rules for market and operational risk.

The objectives included in the Risk Strategy are measurable, quantitative figures, as well as qualitative principles. Simultaneously, the Group has developed a number of guidelines for the strategy/policy for specific risk types/areas. These documents - in addition to measurable limits and objectives - include a broad description of different qualitative risk aspects. We should mention the following:

- Capital Management and Planning Principles,
- Credit Principles and Guidelines,
- Credit Concentration Risk Management Principles,
- Liquidity Risk Management Principles and Rules,
- Market Risk Management Principles and Guidelines for Financial Markets,
- Market Risk Management Principles and Guidelines for the Banking Book,
- Policy for Investment Securities,
- Operational Risk Management Principles and Guidelines.

The strategies/policies mentioned above, in conjunction with the Risk Strategy, define the "risk appetite" defined as the level of individual material risk types and their management, which is accepted and recognized as permitted by the Bank and the Group in its business.

# Article 435.1.a-d

In respect to individual disclosures made pursuant to Article 435.1 of CRR, the following:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Information published pursuant to Article 435 of CRR

- the structure and organization of the relevant risk management function including information on its authority and statute, or other appropriate arrangements;
- the scope and nature of risk reporting and measurement systems;
- the strategy for hedging and mitigating risk, and the strategies and processes for monitoring the continuing effectiveness of hedges and mitigants,

have been discussed in risk management chapters in the Report and the Management Board Activity Report.

## Article 435.1.e

The declarations on the adequacy of risk management arrangements providing assurance that the risk management systems put in place are adequate with regard to the profile and strategy are presented at the end of this document.

## Article 435.1.f

Discussion of the overall risk profile, with key indicators and figures have been included in the Management Report, in the chapter on risk management.

## Article 435.2.a

the number of directorships held by members of the management body

Every Board Member holds 1 directorship.

### Article 435.2.b

the recruitment policy for the selection of members of the management body and their actual knowledge, skills and expertise;

Procedures and recruitment practices of the Bank aimed at assessing suitability of candidates for work covers all positions and verification process is even more comprehensive with reference to persons applying for key functions.

Main preconditions of assigning and suitability assessment of Supervisory Board members are stated in Articles of Associations of Bank Millennium SA. A permanent body affiliated to Supervisory Board of the Bank, Personnel Committee, assesses candidates for members of management board and evaluates their qualifications and results of work.

Assessment of qualifications of members of the management body is based on the criteria defined in the Banking Law and the criteria enumerated in detail in the Guidelines on the assessment of the suitability of members of the management body and key function holders, EBA/GL/2012/06, of November 22, 2012. They are especially related to:

- experience
- reputation
- management

The above mentioned criteria are taken into consideration in accordance with profile of the performed function, size of the area they manage, scope and character of the tasks performed in this area.

Current practices meet requirements of provisions of law.

# > <u>Article 435.2.c</u>

the policy on diversity with regard to selection of members of the management body, its objectives and any relevant targets set out in that policy, and the extent to which these objectives and targets have been achieved

Personnel Committee of Supervisory Board selects Board Members in the way that general composition of Board of Management possesses practical experience related to managing of financial institutions as a whole. In doing this Personnel Committee follows the criteria defined in point 435.b of CRR.

It is worth to stress that during recent 5 years composition of Board of Management remained very stable. Five out of seven Members of the Board remained in the Board longer than 5 years, one was appointed in 2010 and one in 2011. Currently all Board Members have many years' experience not only in managing of the Bank but in specific areas they are responsible for as well.

# Article 435.2.d

whether or not the institution has set up a separate risk committee and the number of times the risk committee has met

The Bank has established a separate risk committee: Bank Millennium SA Risk Committee. In 2014, the Committee held 9 meetings.

# Article 435.2.e

The description of the information flow on risk to the management body

The Bank and the Group have in place an integrated management information system that enables it to generate reports on identification, measurement and control measures relating to the management of individual risk types.

The Bank and the Group have defined the risk exposure reporting policy for management purposes, which sets forth the general rules for preparing and distributing information used to manage different risks. The unit responsible for preparing reports on exposure to different risks is mainly the Risk Department. The frequency and information content of the reports is adjusted to the level of powers and responsibilities of their recipients and also to the changes in the Bank's and the Group's risk profile.

Information contained in internal reports enable reliable evaluation of the risk exposure and support the decision-making process in the bank's risk management area.

The reports also include information on exposure to risks in the business activity of the subsidiaries.

Risk exposure reports for management purposes are addressed to:

- Supervisory Board (reports approved by the Bank's Management Board)
- Bank's Management Board
- Committees dedicated to risk management Risk Committee, Capital, Assets and Liabilities Committee, Credit Committee, Liabilities at Risk Committee, Processes and Operational Risk Committee
- Members of the Bank's Management Board
- Risk Department (internal reports)

The risk exposure reporting policy defines the following for each addressee:

- Information content (e.g. synthetic information about the credit portfolio, including key risk parameters, change in revaluation charges in the profit and loss account, etc.),
- Information format
- Information frequency
- > Article 436

An outline of the differences in the basis of consolidation for accounting and prudential purposes

The scope of consolidation of the Capital Group of Bank Millennium SA as determined in accordance with the prudential regulations (Regulation CRR) is the same as the scope of consolidation made for the preparation of consolidated financial statements prepared by the Group in accordance with IAS/IFRS. The Group did not make any exclusions of consolidated entities in comparison to IFRS financial statements, based on possibility provided by article 19.1 of the CRR.

Companies included in consolidation as at 31.12.2014 are presented in the following table:

Company	Activity domain	Head office	% of the Group's capital share	% of the Group's voting share	Recognition in financial statements
BANK MILLENNIUM SA	banking services	Warsaw	Parent o	company	full consolidation
MILLENNIUM LEASING Sp. z o.o.	leasing services	Warsaw	100	100	full consolidation
MILLENNIUM DOM MAKLERSKI S.A.	brokerage services	Warsaw	100	100	full consolidation
MILLENNIUM TFI SA	investment funds management	Warsaw	100	100	full consolidation
MB FINANCE AB	funding companies from	Stockholm	100	100	full consolidation

Table no 1	Companies	of	Bank	Millennium	group	included	in	consolidation	as	at
31.12.2014										

	Millennium Group				
MILLENNIUM SERVICE Sp. z o.o.	general construction and engineering	Warsaw	100	100	full consolidation
TBM Sp. z o.o.	financial operations - equity markets, advisory services	Warsaw	100	100	full consolidation
MILLENNIUM TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES Sp. z o.o.	financial operations - equity markets, advisory services	Warsaw	100	100	full consolidation

As at 31 December 2014 none of the Group's companies disclosed the capital shortage in relation to existing capital requirements.

# III. Own funds (CRR Art. 437)

The below values presents own funds components of Group as at 31.12.2014.

ID	Item	Amount
1	OWN FUNDS	5 368 947
1.1	TIER 1 CAPITAL	5 123 149
1.1.1	COMMON EQUITY TIER 1 CAPITAL	5 123 149
1.1.1.1	Capital instruments eligible as CET1 Capital	2 360 619
1.1.1.1.1	Paid up capital instruments	1 213 117
1.1.1.1.2*	Memorandum item: Capital instruments not eligible	0
1.1.1.1.3	Share premium	1 147 502
1.1.1.1.4	(-) Own CET1 instruments	0
1.1.1.1.4. 1	(-) Direct holdings of CET1 instruments	0
1.1.1.1.4. 2	(-) Indirect holdings of CET1 instruments	0
1.1.1.1.4. 3	(-) Synthetic holdings of CET1 instruments	0
1.1.1.1.5	(-) Actual or contingent obligations to purchase own CET1 instruments	0
1.1.1.2	Retained earnings	160 032
1.1.1.2.1	Previous years retained earnings	0
1.1.1.2.2	Profit or loss eligible	160 032
1.1.1.2.2. 1	Profit or loss attributable to owners of the parent	650 920
1.1.1.2.2. 2	(-) Part of interim or year-end profit not eligible	-490 888
1.1.1.3	Accumulated other comprehensive income	-112 911
1.1.1.4	Other reserves	2 637 949
1.1.1.5	Funds for general banking risk	228 902
1.1.1.6	Transitional adjustments due to grandfathered CET1 Capital instruments	0
1.1.1.7	Minority interest given recognition in CET1 capital	0
1.1.1.8	Transitional adjustments due to additional minority interests	0
1.1.1.9	Adjustments to CET1 due to prudential filters	165 799
1.1.1.9.1	(-) Increases in equity resulting from securitised assets	0

Table no 2Own Funds as at 31.12.2014 (in PLN thous.)

1.1.1.9.2	Cash flow hedge reserve	165 799
1.1.1.9.3	Cumulative gains and losses due to changes in own credit risk on fair valued liabilities	0
1.1.1.9.4	Fair value gains and losses arising from the institution's own credit risk related to derivative liabilities	0
1.1.1.9.5	(-) Value adjustments due to the requirements for prudent valuation	0
1.1.1.10	(-) Goodwill	0
1.1.1.10.1	(-) Goodwill accounted for as intangible asset	0
1.1.1.10.2	(-) Goodwill included in the valuation of significant investments	0
1.1.1.10.3	Deferred tax liabilities associated to goodwill	0
1.1.1.11	(-) Other intangible assets	-59 119
1.1.1.11.1	(-) Other intangible assets gross amount	-59 119
1.1.1.11.2	Deferred tax liabilities associated to other intangible assets	0
1.1.1.12	(-) Deferred tax assets that rely on future profitability and do not arise from temporary differences net of associated tax liabilities	0
1.1.1.13	(-) IRB shortfall of credit risk adjustments to expected losses	-335 764
1.1.1.14	(-)Defined benefit pension fund assets	0
1.1.1.14.1	(-)Defined benefit pension fund assets gross amount	0
1.1.1.14.2	Deferred tax liabilities associated to defined benefit pension fund assets	0
1.1.1.14.3	Defined benefit pension fund assets which the institution has an unrestricted ability to use	0
1.1.1.15	(-) Reciprocal cross holdings in CET1 Capital	0
1.1.1.16	(-) Excess of deduction from AT1 items over AT1 Capital	0
1.1.1.17	(-) Qualifying holdings outside the financial sector which can alternatively be subject to a 1250% risk weight	0
1.1.1.18	(-) Securitisation positions which can alternatively be subject to a 1250% risk weight	0
1.1.1.19	(-) Free deliveries which can alternatively be subject to a 1250% risk weight	0
1.1.1.20	(-) Positions in a basket for which an institution cannot determine the risk weight under the IRB approach, and can alternatively be subject to a 1250% risk weight	0
1.1.1.21	(-) Equity exposures under an internal models approach which can alternatively be subject to a 1250% risk weight	0
1.1.1.22	(-) CET1 instruments of financial sector entites where the institution does not have a significant investment	0
1.1.1.23	(-) Deductible deferred tax assets that rely on future profitability and arise from temporary differences	0

1.1.1.24	(-) CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment	0
1.1.1.25	(-) Amount exceeding the 17.65% threshold	0
1.1.1.26	Other transitional adjustments to CET1 Capital	77 642
1.1.1.27	(-) Additional deductions of CET1 Capital due to Article 3 CRR	0
1.1.1.28	CET1 capital elements or deductions - other	0
1.1.2	ADDITIONAL TIER 1 CAPITAL	0
1.1.2.1	Capital instruments eligible as AT1 Capital	0
1.1.2.1.1	Paid up capital instruments	0
1.1.2.1.2*	Memorandum item: Capital instruments not eligible	0
1.1.2.1.3	Share premium	0
1.1.2.1.4	(-) Own AT1 instruments	0
1.1.2.1.4.	(-) Direct holdings of AT1 instruments	0
1.1.2.1.4.	(-) Indirect holdings of AT1 instruments	0
1.1.2.1.4.	(-) Synthetic holdings of AT1 instruments	0
1.1.2.1.5	(-) Actual or contingent obligations to purchase own AT1 instruments	0
1.1.2.2	Transitional adjustments due to grandfathered AT1 Capital instruments	0
1.1.2.3	Instruments issued by subsidiaries that are given recognition in AT1 Capital	0
1.1.2.4	Transitional adjustments due to additional recognition in AT1 Capital of instruments issued by subsidiaries	0
1.1.2.5	(-) Reciprocal cross holdings in AT1 Capital	0
1.1.2.6	(-) AT1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution does not have a significant investment	0
1.1.2.7	(-) AT1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment	0
1.1.2.8	(-) Excess of deduction from T2 items over T2 Capital	0
1.1.2.9	Other transitional adjustments to AT1 Capital	0
1.1.2.10	Excess of deduction from AT1 items over AT1 Capital (deducted in CET1)	0
1.1.2.11	(-) Additional deductions of AT1 Capital due to Article 3 CRR	0
1.1.2.12	AT1 capital elements or deductions - other	0
1.2	TIER 2 CAPITAL	245 798

1.2.1	Capital instruments and subordinated loans eligible as T2 Capital	380 104
1.2.1.1	Paid up capital instruments and subordinated loans	380 104
1.2.1.1*	Memorandum item: Capital instruments and subordinated loans not eligible	0
1.2.1.3	Share premium	0
1.2.1.4	(-) Own T2 instruments	0
1.2.1.4.1	(-) Direct holdings of T2 instruments	0
1.2.1.4.2	(-) Indirect holdings of T2 instruments	0
1.2.1.4.3	(-) Synthetic holdings of T2 instruments	0
1.2.1.5	(-) Actual or contingent obligations to purchase own T2 instruments	0
1.2.2	Transitional adjustments due to grandfathered T2 Capital instruments and subordinated loans	0
1.2.3	Instruments issued by subsidiaries that are given recognition in T2 Capital	0
1.2.4	Transitional adjustments due to additional recognition in T2 Capital of instruments issued by subsidiaries	0
1.2.5	IRB Excess of provisions over expected losses eligible	0
1.2.6	SA General credit risk adjustments	0
1.2.7	(-) Reciprocal cross holdings in T2 Capital	0
1.2.8	(-) T2 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution does not have a significant investment	0
1.2.9	(-) T2 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment	0
1.2.10	Other transitional adjustments to T2 Capital	-134 306
1.2.11	Excess of deduction from T2 items over T2 Capital (deducted in AT1)	0
1.2.12	(-) Additional deductions of T2 Capital due to Article 3 CRR	0
1.2.13	T2 capital elements or deductions - other	0
	Common Equity Tier1 Ratio (CET1)	14,53%
	Total Capital Ratio (TCR)	15,23%

# III.1 Description of key components of own funds (CRR art. 437.1)

Table no 3	Details of items from Table no. 1 (in full PLN)
------------	---

1.1.1.1.1	Paid-for capital instruments	1 213 117

Series / issue	Share type	Privilege type	Number of shares	Series / issue value	Payment of capital	Registratio n date	Right to dividend
4	registered founding	x2 voting rights	106 850	106 850	cash	30.06.1989	30.06.198
31	registered ordinary		150 000	150 000	cash	13.06.1990	01.01.199
32	registered ordinary		150 000	150 000	cash	13.12.1990	01.01.199
2	bearer ordinary		4 693 150	4 693 150	cash	17.05.1991	01.01.199
01	bearer ordinary		1 700 002	1 700 002	cash	31.12.1991	01.01.199
02	bearer ordinary		2 611 366	2 611 366	cash	31.01.1992	01.01.199
03	bearer ordinary		1 001 500	1 001 500	cash	10.03.1992	01.01.199
Ξ	bearer ordinary		6 000 000	6 000 000	cash	28.05.1993	01.01.199
=	bearer ordinary		9 372 721	9 372 721	cash	10.12.1993	01.01.199
3	bearer ordinary		8 000 000	8 000 000	cash	30.05.1994	01.10.199
4	bearer ordinary		7 082 129	7 082 129	cash	24.10.1994	01.10.199
ncrease of	nominal share v	alue from PLN	1 to 4	122 603 154	Reserve capital	24.11.1994	
1:4 share s	plit		122 603 154			05.12.1994	
	bearer ordinary		65 000 000	65 000 000	cash	12.08.1997	01.10.199
J	bearer ordinary		196 120 000	196 120 000	Capitals of Bank Gdański S.A.	12.09.1997	01.10.199
κ	bearer ordinary		424 590 872	424 590 872	cash	31.12.2001	01.01.200
-	bearer ordinary		363 935 033	363 935 033	cash	26.02.2010	01.01.200
Fotal numb	er of shares		1 213 116 777				
Fotal stock	capital			1 213 116 777			
	2 4 7 2 2					4 4 4	7 502
	e capital from			nominal value. I costs incurred.			7 502 e premiur
1.1.1.2.	2.1 Profit o	r loss attrib	utable to owr	ners of the pa	rent entity	650	920
This item	is equal to 20 <sup>2</sup>	14 consolidate	ed net result		-		

This item is equal to the company's share capital, which comprises the following components (nominal value of one share = PLN 1):

1.1.1.2.2.2	(-) Part of not recognised current profit or not recognised annual profit	-490 888
prudential st obtained cor constitutes n	mount of net result, which cannot be included in own funds for purp candards as of reporting date. As for the remaining amount (PLN 1 issent of the Polish Financial Supervision Authority for its inclusion in net profit of the Group in the 1 <sup>st</sup> half of 2014 (PLN 320 063 540) less to dend (50% of net profit of Bank Millennium S.A. Capital Group).	60 031 770) the Bank n Tier I. This amount
1.1.1.3	Accumulated other total income	-112 911
This item cor	nprises revaluation capital, which arose in result of recognition of:	
i.e. done reco - Effe amo invo the Amo - Actu prof	ct of measurement (at fair value) of financial assets available for sa after deduction of deferred tax. These amounts are removed from e when all or part of the valuated assets are taken out of the books o ognised (the valuation effect is then taken to the P&L Account). Amoun oct of measurement (at fair value) of derivative instruments hedging bunt i.e. after deduction of deferred tax. Revaluation capital carries alved with the instrument hedging cash flows, which constitutes an e ineffective part of profit or loss involved with this hedging instrume bunt of PLN -165 799 015 uarial profit / (loss) in the net amount i.e. after deferred tax. Reval fit or loss resulting from discounting of future liabilities arisen on a	revaluation capital is or when impairment is at of PLN 55 028 939 cash flows in the net part of profit or loss ffective hedge, while ent is carried in P&L. uation capital carries ccount of a provision
	ated for retirement severance pay. These values are not eligible for ount is PLN -2 140 810.	5
		2 637 949
amo 1.1.1.4 This capital a These resolut According to	ount is PLN -2 140 810.	<b>2 637 949</b> distribution of profit. npanies of the Group.
amo 1.1.1.4 This capital a These resolut According to	Additional reserve capital arose in result of annual resolutions of the Shareholders' Meeting on tions decided to retain part of profit generated in the Bank and in Con the Articles of Association the GSM decides about use of additional	<b>2 637 949</b> distribution of profit. npanies of the Group.
amo 1.1.1.4 This capital a These resolur According to may be used 1.1.1.5 The General	Additional reserve capital arose in result of annual resolutions of the Shareholders' Meeting on tions decided to retain part of profit generated in the Bank and in Con the Articles of Association the GSM decides about use of additional in particular to cover any future losses or for payment of dividend.	2 637 949 distribution of profit. npanies of the Group. reserve capital and it 228 902
amo 1.1.1.4 This capital a These resolur According to may be used 1.1.1.5 The General	Additional reserve capital arose in result of annual resolutions of the Shareholders' Meeting on tions decided to retain part of profit generated in the Bank and in Con the Articles of Association the GSM decides about use of additional in particular to cover any future losses or for payment of dividend. General banking risk fund Banking Risk Fund in the Bank was created with profit after tax in ke	2 637 949 distribution of profit. npanies of the Group. reserve capital and it 228 902
amo 1.1.1.4 This capital a These resolur According to may be used 1.1.1.5 The General of Banking La 1.1.1.9.2 This amount	Additional reserve capital         arose in result of annual resolutions of the Shareholders' Meeting on tions decided to retain part of profit generated in the Bank and in Conthe Articles of Association the GSM decides about use of additional in particular to cover any future losses or for payment of dividend.         General banking risk fund         Banking Risk Fund in the Bank was created with profit after tax in keaw of 29 August 1997 as amended.	2 637 949 distribution of profit. npanies of the Group. reserve capital and it 228 902 eeping with provisions 165 799
amo 1.1.1.4 This capital a These resolur According to may be used 1.1.1.5 The General of Banking La 1.1.1.9.2 This amount	Additional reserve capital arose in result of annual resolutions of the Shareholders' Meeting on tions decided to retain part of profit generated in the Bank and in Con the Articles of Association the GSM decides about use of additional i in particular to cover any future losses or for payment of dividend. General banking risk fund Banking Risk Fund in the Bank was created with profit after tax in ke aw of 29 August 1997 as amended. Provision for instruments hedging cash flows with a negative mark is a component of item 1.1.1.3 and in accordance	2 637 949 distribution of profit. npanies of the Group. reserve capital and it 228 902 eeping with provisions 165 799
amo 1.1.1.4 This capital a These resolut According to may be used 1.1.1.5 The General of Banking La 1.1.1.9.2 This amount Regulation Ne 1.1.1.1.1.1	Additional reserve capital         arose in result of annual resolutions of the Shareholders' Meeting on tions decided to retain part of profit generated in the Bank and in Cont the Articles of Association the GSM decides about use of additional in particular to cover any future losses or for payment of dividend.         General banking risk fund         Banking Risk Fund in the Bank was created with profit after tax in kee aw of 29 August 1997 as amended.         Provision for instruments hedging cash flows         with a negative mark is a component of item 1.1.1.3 and in accordance o. 575/2013 the Bank does not include it in own funds.	2 637 949 distribution of profit. npanies of the Group. reserve capital and it 228 902 eeping with provisions 165 799 e with article 33 of -59 119
amo 1.1.1.4 This capital a These resolut According to may be used 1.1.1.5 The General of Banking La 1.1.1.9.2 This amount Regulation Na 1.1.1.111 This amount 1.1.1.13 Deductions und renewable recommended	Additional reserve capital arose in result of annual resolutions of the Shareholders' Meeting on tions decided to retain part of profit generated in the Bank and in Con the Articles of Association the GSM decides about use of additional i in particular to cover any future losses or for payment of dividend. General banking risk fund Banking Risk Fund in the Bank was created with profit after tax in ke aw of 29 August 1997 as amended. Provision for instruments hedging cash flows with a negative mark is a component of item 1.1.1.3 and in accordance o. 575/2013 the Bank does not include it in own funds. (-) Gross amount of other intangible assets	2 637 949 distribution of profit. npanies of the Group. reserve capital and it 228 902 eeping with provisions 165 799 e with article 33 of -59 119 npanies of the Group. -335 764 (RRE) mortgages and sion to apply the IRB

These corrections comprise following amounts:

- Transfer of 40% of item 1.1.1.13 to item 1.2.10 in keeping with article 472 and 478 of Regulation No. 575/2013. Correction amount PLN +134 405 680
- Correction of measurement (at fair value) of financial assets available for sale, reported in item 1.1.1.3 by 100% of unrealised gains in keeping with article 468 of Regulation No. 575/2013. Correction amount PLN -57 072 340
- Correction of measurement (at fair value) of financial assets available for sale, reported in item 1.1.1.3 by 20% unrealised gains in keeping with article 467 of Regulation No. 575/2013. Correction amount PLN +408 680

1.2.1.1	Paid-for equity instruments and subordinated loans	380 104

The Bank (and Group) includes in supplementary funds the liabilities from issue of securities with maturities in December 2017. The nominal amount of the liability is EUR 150 000 000. After using daily depreciation the amount included in Tier II is EUR 89 178 082 i.e. PLN 380 103 740

1.2.10	Other interim corrections in Tier II	-38 131

Correction for transfer of 40% of the item 1.1.1.13 in keeping with article 472 and 478 of Regulation No. 575/2013.

# III.2 Reconciliation of items of own funds and equity reported in the audited financial report

Table no. 4Reconciliation of items of own funds and equity reported in the auditedfinancial report (in PLN thous.)

ltem	Note of financial report	Value in financial report	Item in Table No. 3
Subordinated liabilities	34	639 739	1.2.1.1
Share capital	35	1 213 117	1.1.1.1
Capital from sale of shares over nominal value	List of equity items page 10	1 147 502	1.1.1.3
Revaluation capital	35	-112 911	1.1.1.3
Retained earnings	35	3 517 771	1.1.1.4 1.1.1.5 1.1.1.2.2.1
Total equity and subordinated reported in the audited financ		6 405 218	
Part of net result, which cann funds as of reporting date for purp		-490 888	1.1.1.2.2.2
Gross amount of c	ther intangible assets	-59 119	1.1.1.11.1
Shortage of credit risk correction losses acco	ns in view of expected ording to IRB approach	-335 764	1.1.1.13
Correction by 10	0% of unrealised gains	-57 072	1.1.1.26
Correction by 2	0% of unrealised gains	409	1.1.1.26

Correction by part of principal of subordinated liability, which cannot be included in own funds		1.2.1.1
Correction by interest accrued on subordinated liability	-394	1.2.1.1
Provision for instruments hedging cash flows	165 799	1.1.1.9.2
Total own funds	5 368 947	1

# III.3 Items not deducted from own funds

As at 31 December 2014 the Group did not make significant investments in financial sector entities, as mentioned in article 43 CRR.

In case of deferred tax assets, mentioned in article 38 CRR, their value constitutes 3.9% of adjusted Tier I and in consequence it is exempted from deductions in keeping with article 48 CRR, at the same time this amount was assigned a risk weight of 250% for purposes of calculation of capital requirements.

III.4 Own Funds in accordance with the EU Commission Implementing Regulation No 1423/2013 of 20.12.2013, establishing the implementing technical standards to the requirements for disclosure of information on the institution's own funds, according to the Regulation of the European Parliament and Council Regulation (EU) No 575/2013

#### Main features of capital instruments

- 1 Issuer
- 2 Unique identifier (eg CUSIP, ISIN or Bloomberg identifier for private placement)
- 3 Governing law(s) of the instrument

#### Regulatory treatment

- 4 Transitional CRR rules
- 5 Post-transitional CRR rules
- 6 Eligible at solo/(sub-)consolidated/ solo&(sub-)consolidated
- 7 Instrument type (types to be specified by each jurisdiction)
- 8 Amount recognised in regulatory capital (Currency in million, as of most recent reporting date)
- 9 Nominal amount of instrument
- 9a Issue price
- 9b Redemption price
- 10 Accounting classification
- 11 Original date of issuance
- 12 Perpetual or dated
- 13 Original maturity date
- 14 Issuer call subject to prior supervisory approval
- 15 Optional call date, contingent call dates and redemption amount
- 16 Subsequent call dates, if applicable

Coupons / dividends

- 17 Fixed or floating dividend/coupon
- 18 Coupon rate and any related index
- 19 Existence of a dividend stopper
- 20a Fully discretionary, partially discretionary or mandatory (in terms of timing)
- 20b Fully discretionary, partially discretionary or mandatory (in terms of amount)
- 21 Existence of step up or other incentive to redeem
- 22 Noncumulative or cumulative
- 23 Convertible or non-convertible
- 24 If convertible, conversion trigger(s)

- 25 If convertible, fully or partially
- 26 If convertible, conversion rate
- 27 If convertible, mandatory or optional conversion
- 28 If convertible, specify instrument type convertible into
- 29 If convertible, specify issuer of instrument it converts into
- 30 Write-down features
- 31 If write-down, write-down trigger(s)
- 32 If write-down, full or partial
- 33 If write-down, permanent or temporary
- 34 If temporary write-down, description of write-up mechanism
- 35 Position in subordination hierarchy in liquidation (specify instrument type immediately senior to instrument)
- 36 Non-compliant transitioned features
- 37 If yes, specify non-compliant features

	А	B1	B2	С	D1	D2	D3
1	Bank Millennium S.A.						
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	PLBIG0000016	PLBIG0000016	PLBIG0000016	PLBIG0000016
3	Polish						
4	N/A						
5	Kapitał podstawowy Tier I						
6	Stand-alone level/consolidated level						
7	registered founder	registered ordinary	registered ordinary	bearer ordinary	bearer ordinary	bearer ordinary	bearer ordinary
8	427 400	600 000	600 000	18 772 600	6 800 008	10 445 464	4 006 000
9	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00
9a	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00
9b	N/A						
10	equity						

	I	l	l	l	I		l
11	30.06.1989	13.06.1990	13.12.1990	17.05.1991	31.12.1991	31.01.1992	10.03.1992
12	perpetual						
13	N/A						
14	N/A						
15	N/A						
16	N/A						
17	Floating rate						
18	N/A						
19	Yes						
20a	fully discretionary						
20b	fully discretionary						
21	N/A						
22	N/A						
23	N/A						
24	N/A						
25	N/A						
26	N/A						
27	N/A						
28	N/A						
29	N/A						
30	N/A						
31	GSM, statutory approach						
32	full or partial						
33	N/A						
34	GSM's resolution						
35	N/A						
36	N/A						
37	N/A						

-								
	E	F	G	Н	1	J	К	L
1	Bank Millennium S.A.							
2	PLBIG0000016							
3	Polish							
4	N/A							
5	Kapitał podstawowy Tier I							
6	Stand-alone level/consolidated level							
7	bearer ordinary							
8	24 000 000	37 490 884	32 000 000	28 328 516	65 000 000	196 120 000	424 590 872	363 935 033
9	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00
9a	1,00	1,43	2,38	2,75	3,40	1,00	1,80	2,90
9b	N/A							
10	equity							
11	28.05.1993	10.12.1993	30.05.1994	24.10.1994	12.08.1997	12.09.1997	31.12.2001	26.02.2010
12	perpetual							
13	N/A							
14	N/A							
15	N/A							
16	N/A							
17	Floating rate							
18	N/A							
19	Yes							
20a	fully discretionary							
20b	fully discretionary							

| 30       |                            | N/A<br>N/A                 |
|----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 35<br>36 |                            | N/A                        |
| 34       | GSM's resolution           |
|          | N/A                        |
| 32       | full or partial            |
| 31       | GSM, statutory<br>approach |
| 30       | N/A                        |
| 29       | N/A                        |
| 28       | N/A                        |
| 27       | N/A                        |
| 26       | N/A                        |
| 25       | N/A                        |
| 24       | N/A                        |
| 23       | N/A                        |
| 22       | N/A                        |
| 21       | N/A                        |

# Transitional Own Funds (PLN thousand)

	(A) AMOUNT AT DISCLOSURE DATE	(B) REGULATION (EU) NO 575/2013 ARTICLE REFERENCE	
Common Equity Tier 1 capital: instruments and reserves			
Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	2 360 619	26 (1), 27, 28, 29, EBA list 26 (3)	2 360 619

of which: Instrument type 1	0	EBA list 26 (3)	0
of which: Instrument type 2	0	EBA list 26 (3)	0
of which: Instrument type 3	0	EBA list 26 (3)	0
Retained earnings	2 637 949	26 (1) (c)	2 637 949
Accumulated other comprehensive income (and other reserves, to include unrealised gains and losses under the applicable accounting standards)	-112 911	26 (1)	-112 911
Funds for general banking risk	228 902	26 (1) (f)	228 902
Amount of qualifying items referred to in Article 484 (3) and the related share premium accounts subject to phase out from CET1	0	486 (2)	0
Public sector capital injections grandfathered until 1 January 2018	0		0
Minority Interests (amount allowed in consolidated CET1)	0	84, 479, 480	0
Independently reviewed interim profits net of any foreseeable charge or dividend	160 032	26 (2)	160 032
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital before regulatory adjustments	5 274 591		5 274 591
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital: regulatory adjustments	0		0
Additional value adjustments (negative amount)	0	34, 105	0
Intangible assets (net of related tax liability) (negative amount)	-59 119	36 (1) (b), 37, 472 (4)	-59 119
	0	Empty Set in the EU	0
Deferred tax assets that rely on future profitability excluding those arising from temporary differences (net of related tax liability where the conditions in Article 38 (3) are met) (negative amount)	0	36 (1) (c), 38, 472 (5)	0
Fair value reserves related to gains or losses on cash flow hedges	165 799	33 (a)	165 799
Negative amounts resulting from the calculation of expected loss amounts	-201 459	36 (1) (d), 40, 159, 472 (6)	-167 882
Any increase in equity that results from securitised assets (negative amount)	0	32 (1)	0
Gains or losses on liabilities valued at fair value resulting from changes in own credit standing	0	33 (b)	0
Defined-benefit pension fund assets (negative amount)	0	36 (1) (e) , 41, 472 (7)	0
Direct and indirect holdings by an institution of own CET1 instruments (negative amount)	0	36 (1) (f), 42, 472 (8)	0
Holdings of the CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where those entities have reciprocal cross holdings with the institution designed to inflate artificially the own funds of the institution (negative amount)	0	36 (1) (g), 44, 472 (9)	0

Direct and indirect holdings by the institution of the CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution does not have a significant investment in those entities (amount above the 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions) (negative amount)	0	36 (1) (h), 43, 45, 46, 49 (2) (3), 79, 472 (10)	0
Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings by the institution of the CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (amount above 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions) (negative amount)	0	36 (1) (i), 43, 45, 47, 48 (1) (b), 49 (1) to (3), 79, 470, 472 (11)	-112 334
Empty Set in the EU	0		0
Exposure amount of the following items which qualify for a RW of 1250%, where the institution opts for the deduction alternative	0	36 (1) (k)	0
of which: qualifying holdings outside the financial sector (negative amount)	0	36 (1) (k) (i), 89 to 91	0
of which: securitisation positions (negative amount)	0	36 (1) (k) (ii), 243 (1) (b) , 244 (1) (b), 258	0
of which: free deliveries (negative amount)	0	36 (1) (k) (iii), 379 (3)	0
Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (amount above 10% threshold, net of related tax liability where the conditions in 38 (3) are met) (negative amount)	0	36 (1) (c), 38, 48 (1) (a), 470, 472 (5)	0
Amount exceeding the 15% threshold (negative amount)	0	48 (1)	0
of which: direct and indirect holdings by the institution of the CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities	0	36 (1) (i), 48 (1) (b), 470, 472 (11)	0
Empty Set in the EU	0		0
of which: deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences	0	36 (1) (c), 38, 48 (1) (a), 470, 472 (5)	0
Losses for the current financial year (negative amount)	0	36 (1) (a), 472 (3)	0
Foreseeable tax charges relating to CET1 items (negative amount)	0	36 (1) (l)	0
Regulatory adjustments applied to Common Equity Tier 1 in respect of amounts subject to pre-CRR treatment	0		0
Regulatory adjustments relating to unrealised gains and losses pursuant to Articles 467 and 468	-56 664		-57 072
Of which:filter for unrealised loss 1	409	467	0
Of which:filter for unrealised loss 2	0	467	0
Of which:filter for unrealised gain 1	-57 072	468	-57 072
Of which:filter for unrealised gain 2	0	468	0

Amount to be deducted from or added to Common Equity Tier 1 capital with regard to additional filters and deductions required pre CRR	0	481	0
Of which:	0	481	0
Qualifying AT1 deductions that exceed the AT1 capital of the institution (negative amount)	0	36 (1) (j)	0
Total regulatory adjustments to Common equity Tier 1 (CET1)	-151 442		-230 609
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital	5 123 149		5 043 982
Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital: instruments	0		0
Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	0	51, 52	0
of which: classified as equity under applicable accounting standards	0		0
of which: classified as liabilities under applicable accounting standards	0		0
Amount of qualifying items referred to in Article 484 (4) and the related share premium accounts subject to phase out from AT1	0	486 (3)	0
Public sector capital injections grandfathered until 1 January 2018	0	483 (3)	0
Qualifying Tier 1 capital included in consolidated AT1 capital (including minority interests not included in row 5) issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties	0	85, 86, 480	0
of which: instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out	0	486 (3)	0
Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital before regulatory adjustments	0		0
Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital: regulatory adjustments	0		0
Direct and indirect holdings by an institution of own AT1 Instruments (negative amount)	0	52 (1) (b), 56 (a), 57, 475 (2)	0
Holdings of the AT1 instruments of financial sector entities where those entities have reciprocal cross holdings with the institution designed to inflate artificially the own funds of the institution (negative amount)	0	56 (b), 58, 475 (3)	0
Direct and indirect holdings of the AT1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution does not have a significant investment in those entities (amount above the 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions) (negative amount)	0	56 (c), 59, 60, 79, 475 (4)	0
Direct and indirect holdings by the institution of the AT1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (amount above the 10% threshold net of eligible short positions) (negative amount)	0	56 (d), 59, 79, 475 (4)	0

Regulatory adjustments applied to additional tier 1 in respect of amounts subject to pre-CRR treatment and transitional treatments subject to phase out as prescribed in Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 (i.e. CRR residual amounts)	0		0
Residual amounts deducted from Additional Tier 1 capital with regard to deduction from Common Equity Tier 1 capital during the transitional period pursuant to article 472 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013	0	472, 472(3)(a), 472 (4), 472 (6), 472 (8) (a), 472 (9), 472 (10) (a), 472 (11) (a)	0
Of which items to be detailed line by line, e.g. Material net interim losses, intangibles, shortfall of provisions to expected losses etc	0		0
Residual amounts deducted from Additional Tier 1 capital with regard to deduction from Tier 2 capital during the transitional period pursuant to article 475 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013	0	477, 477 (3), 477 (4) (a)	0
Of which items to be detailed line by line, e.g. Reciprocal cross holdings in Tier 2 instruments, direct holdings of non-significant investments in the capital of other financial sector entities, etc	0		0
Amount to be deducted from or added to Additional Tier 1 capital with regard to additional filters and deductions required pre- CRR	0	467, 468, 481	0
Of which:possible filter for unrealised losses	0	467	0
Of which:possible filter for unrealised gains	0	468	0
Of which:	0	481	0
Qualifying T2 deductions that exceed the T2 capital of the institution (negative amount)	0	56 (e)	0
Total regulatory adjustments to Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital	0		0
Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital	0		0
Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + AT1)	5 123 149		5 043 982
Tier 2 (T2) capital: instruments and provisions	0		0
Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	0	62, 63	0
Amount of qualifying items referred to in Article 484 (5) and the related share premium accounts subject to phase out from T2	380 104	486 (4)	383 607
Public sector capital injections grandfathered until 1 January 2018	0	483 (4)	0
Qualifying own funds instruments included in consolidated T2 capital (including minority interests and AT1 instruments not included in rows 5 or 34) issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties	0	87, 88, 480	0
of which: instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out	0	486 (4)	0
Credit risk adjustments	0	62 (c) & (d)	0
Tier 2 (T2) capital before regulatory adjustments	380 104		383 607
Tier 2 (T2) capital: regulatory adjustments	0		0

Direct and indirect holdings by an institution of own T2 instruments and subordinated loans (negative amount)	0	63 (b) (i), 66 (a), 67, 477 (2)	0
Holdings of the T2 instruments and subordinated loans of financial sector entities where those entities have reciprocal cross holdings with the institution designed to inflate artificially the own funds of the institution (negative amount)	0	66 (b), 68, 477 (3)	0
Direct and indirect holdings of the T2 instruments and subordinated loans of financial sector entities where the institution does not have a significant investment in those entities (amount above 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions) (negative amount)	0	66 (c), 69, 70, 79, 477 (4)	0
Of which new holdings not subject to transitional arrangements	0		0
Of which holdings existing before 1 January 2013 and subject to transitional arrangements	0		0
Direct and indirect holdings by the institution of the T2 instruments and subordinated loans of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (net of eligible short positions) (negative amount)	0	66 (d), 69, 79, 477 (4)	-112 334
Regulatory adjustments applied to tier 2 in respect of amounts subject to pre-CRR treatment and transitional treatments subject to phase out as prescribed in Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 (i.e. CRR residual amounts)	0		0
Residual amounts deducted from Tier 2capital with regard to deduction from Common Equity Tier 1 capital during the transitional period pursuant to article 472 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013	-134 306	472, 472(3)(a), 472 (4), 472 (6), 472 (8) (a), 472 (9), 472 (10) (a), 472 (11) (a)	-167 882
Of which items to be detailed line by line, e.g. Material net interim losses, intangibles, shortfall of provisions to expected losses etc	0		0
Residual amounts deducted from Tier 2 capital with regard to deduction from Additional Tier 1 capital during the transitional period pursuant to article 475 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013	0	475, 475 (2) (a), 475 (3), 475 (4) (a)	0
Of which items to be detailed line by line, e.g. reciprocal cross holdings in at1 instruments, direct holdings of non significant investments in the capital of other financial sector entities, etc	0		0
Amount to be deducted from or added to Tier 2 capital with regard to additional filters and deductions required pre CRR	0	467, 468, 481	45 658
Of which:possible filter for unrealised losses	0	467	0
Of which:possible filter for unrealised gains	0	468	45 658
Of which:	0	481	0
Total regulatory adjustments to Tier 2 (T2) capital	-134 306		-234 558
Tier 2 (T2) capital	245 798		149 049

Total capital (TC = T1 + T2)	5 368 947		5 193 031
Risk weighted assets in respect of amounts subject to pre-CRR treatment and transitional treatments subject to phase out as prescribed in Regulation (EU) No 575/2013(i.e. CRR residual amounts)	0		0
Of which:items not deducted from CET1 (Regulation (EU) No 575/2013residual amounts) (items to be detailed line by line, e.g. Deferred tax assets that rely on future profitability net of related tax liablity, indirect holdings of own CET1, etc)	499 828	472, 472 (5), 472 (8) (b), 472 (10) (b), 472 (11) (b)	0
Of which:items not deducted from AT1 items (Regulation (EU) No 575/2013residual amounts) (items to be detailed line by line, e.g. Reciprocal cross holdings in T2 instruments, direct holdings of non-significant investments in the capital of other financial sector entities, etc)	0	475, 475 (2) (b), 475 (2) (c), 475 (4) (b)	0
Items not deducted from T2 items (Regulation (EU) No 575/2013residual amounts) (items to be detailed line by line, e.g. Indirect holdings of own t2 instruments, indirect holdings of non significant investments in the capital of other financial sector entities, indirect holdings of significant investments in the capital of other financial sector entities etc)	0	477, 477 (2) (b), 477 (2) (c), 477 (4) (b)	0
Total risk weighted assets	0		0
Capital ratios and buffers	0		0
Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	0	92 (2) (a), 465	0
Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	0	92 (2) (b), 465	0
Total capital (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	0	92 (2) (c)	0
Institution specific buffer requirement (CET1 requirement in accordance with article 92 (1) (a) plus capital conservation and countercyclical buffer requirements, plus systemic risk buffer, plus the systemically important institution buffer (G-SII or O-SII buffer), expressed as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	0	CRD 128, 129, 130	0
of which: capital conservation buffer requirement	0		0
of which: countercyclical buffer requirement	0		0
of which: systemic risk buffer requirement	0		0
of which: Global Systemically Important Institution (G-SII) or Other Systemically Important Institution (O-SII) buffer	0	CRD 131	0
Common Equity Tier 1 available to meet buffers (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	0	CRD 128	0
[non relevant in EU regulation]	0		0
[non relevant in EU regulation]	0		0
[non relevant in EU regulation]	0		0
Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (before risk weighting)	0		0

Direct and indirect holdings of the capital of financial sector entities where the institution does not have a significant investment in those entities (amount below 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions)	0	36 (1) (h), 45, 46, 472 (10), 56 (c), 59, 60, 475 (4), 66 (c), 69, 70, 477 (4)	0
Direct and indirect holdings by the institution of the CET 1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (amount below 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions)	0	36 (1) (i), 45, 48, 470, 472 (11)	0
Empty Set in the EU	0		0
Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (amount below 10% threshold, net of related tax liability where the conditions in Article 38 (3) are met)	199 931	36 (1) (c), 38, 48, 470, 472 (5)	0
Applicable caps on the inclusion of provisions in Tier 3	0		0
Credit risk adjustments included in T2 in respect of exposures subject to standardized approach (prior to the application of the cap)	0	62	0
Cap on inclusion of credit risk adjustments in T2 under standardised approach	0	62	0
Credit risk adjustments included in T2 in respect of exposures subject to internal ratings-based approach (prior to the application of the cap)	0	62	0
Cap for inclusion of credit risk adjustments in T2 under internal ratings-based approach	0	62	0
Capital instruments subject to phase-out arrangements (only applicable between 1 Jan 2013 and 1 Jan 2022)	0		0
Current cap on CET1 instruments subject to phase out arrangements	0	484 (3), 486 (2) & (5)	0
Amount excluded from CET1 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities)	0	484 (3), 486 (2) & (5)	0
Current cap on AT1 instruments subject to phase out arrangements	0	484 (4), 486 (3) & (5)	0
Amount excluded from AT1 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities)	0	484 (4), 486 (3) & (5)	0
Current cap on T2 instruments subject to phase out arrangements	0	484 (5), 486 (4) & (5)	0
Amount excluded from T2 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities)	0	484 (5), 486 (4) & (5)	0

# IV. Capital requirements

# IV.1 Approach to assessing the adequacy of internal capital (CRR Article 438.a)

The Group carries out the internal capital adequacy assessment process (ICAAP) in reliance on the internal models of economic capital.

The Group defines economic capital as the amount of capital which is needed to cover all the unexpected economic losses that may occur during a specified future period and that are estimated with specific probability, without jeopardizing interests of the Group's depositors/creditors. Internal capital calculations incorporate all the material risk types to which the Group is exposed and are based on a set of parameters developed on the basis of the individual features and characteristics of the Polish market. The models quantify the value of expected and unexpected losses on account of the risk types considered to be material, at the assumed confidence level and in a 1-year time horizon.

The Group has taken a conservative approach to the correlation between individual risk types (the fact that different risk types do not convert to losses simultaneously) and calculates the effect of diversification on the entire loss distribution.

In line with the recommendations issued by the banking supervision authority, individual risk types and the diversification effect are subject to stress tests. The total diversified internal capital is subject to economic assessment of capital adequacy, by a comparison with "risk bearing capacity" (available financial resources). The Group conservatively assumes that the available financial resources are equivalent to regulatory own funds which form the basis for calculating the solvency ratio.

The internal capital adequacy assessment process following the Group's approach is closely linked to the risk, capital and business management processes in place in the Group. It consists of the following stages:

1. Classification and assessment of materiality of risk types, to determine the method for incorporating them in the risk management process and in the ICAAP process,

2. Measurement (quantification) of risk,

3. Aggregation of internal capital to secure material risk of operations, while taking into account the effect of correlation between risk types,

4. Assessment of capital adequacy by comparing the Bank's economic risk (internal capital) to its capacity to cover the risk,

5. Allocation of internal capital to business lines/areas of operation,

6. Use of allocated internal capital to measure risk-based efficiency, set risk limits, reallocate capital while taking into account risk-weighted returns,

7. Control and monitoring of the risk level, available financial resources, capital limits and objectives.

Capital adequacy assessment carried out at the end of 2014 indicates a high level of this adequacy, which is shown in a significant surplus of capital resources (equivalent to regulatory own funds) as compared to economic risk (internal capital value) and risk calculated on the basis of supervisory regulations (the value of minimum capital requirements to cover risk. Internal capital at the end of 2014 is lower than the capital requirements in the 1st Pillar, both in the Standardized Approach and the IRB Approach (taking into account the following additional requirement resulting from a temporary supervisory restriction) for credit risk.

Both the Bank and the Group meet the statutory requirements regarding the level of own funds and the internal capital set forth in Article 128 of the Banking Law Act and in the CRR.

IV.2 Upon demand from the relevant competent authority, the result of the institution's internal capital adequacy assessment process including the composition of the additional own funds requirements (CRR Article 438.b)

Not applicable

# IV.3 Amounts equal to 8% of risk-weighted exposure, calculated separately for each exposure class defined in Article 147 and 112 of CRR

The Group has obtained a permission from the Bank of Portugal and the Polish Financial Supervision Commission (hereinafter: Regulatory Authorities) to apply the Advanced Internal Ratings Based Approach to a part of the retail exposure portfolio. Detailed information on this matter is provided in item XVII.1.

The table below presents the amounts of capital requirements calculated according to the permission received from the Regulatory Authorities to apply the IRB approach.

No	Exposure class	Capital requirements
1	Central banks and governments	0
2	Institutions	71 873
3	Corporates	783 587
4	Retail exposures, incl.:	876 554
4.1	- Residential Real Estate exposures (RRE)	486 357
4.2	- Qualified Retail Revolving Exposures (QRRE)	82 010
4.3	- Other Retail exposures	308 187

Table no 5Capital requirements as at 31 December 2014, the Group (in PLN thous.)

5	Trading Book	18 248
6	Specialised Lending, incl.:	65 656
6.1	- category 1	0
6.2	- category 2	23 367
6.3	- category 3	0
6.3	- category 4	15 665
6.4	- category 5	26 624
7	Equity exposures	950
8	Securitization	0
9	Other assets	69 572
10	CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS TO CREDIT RISK, COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK, SETTLEMENT / DELIVERY, LARGE EXPOSURES EXCEEDING LIMITS AND OTHER ASSETS	1 886 440
10.1	- including counterparty credit risk	25 553
12	Capital requirements to credit valuation adjustment	23 810
13	CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS TO MARKET RISK	24 804
14	CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS TO OPERATIONAL RISK	257 503
15	TOTAL CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS (without regulatory floors)	2 192 556
16	REGULATORY FLOOR	628 004
17	TOTAL CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS (with regulatory floor)	2 820 561

(\*) Capital requirements calculated using the IRB Approach

(\*\*) Additional requirement resulting from the temporary supervisory constraint explained in part xvii.1 of this Information

For equity exposure class, the risk-weighted exposure amounts are calculated using the simple risk-weight approach laid down in Article 150.2 of CRR. These requirements are an immaterial amount compared to the amount of the requirement for own funds for credit risk (PLN 950 thousand).

# IV.4 Capital requirements for position risk, large exposures limits excess, currency, settlement and commodities risks

• Market risk

The Group uses standardized approaches to calculate its capital requirements for various types of market risk. The Group had requirements for own funds for specific risk of debt instruments and the general interest rate risk. The exposure to market risk was not material. Capital requirements for this risk represented about 1% of the total amount of capital requirements (without the regulatory floor) as at 31 December 2014.

• Settlement risk, delivery risk, large exposures limits excess

As at 31 December 2014, there were no capital requirements for these risks.

# IV.5 Capital requirements for operational risks and losses borne by operational risk

The capital requirements for operational risk are calculated using the standardized approach (CRR Article 317-320). They represented about 12% of the total amount of capital requirements (without the regulatory floor) as at 31 December 2014.

## Losses stemming from operational risk events

The below table presents operational risk events registered in the operational risk database in 2014.

Event category	Amount of events	Net loss	Gross loss
	no	PLN mn	PLN mn
External fraud	95	1,8	2,6
Internal fraud	1	0,9	1,2
Clients, Products and Business practices	2	0,2	0,3
Damage to physical assets	22	0,1	0,2
Other	7	0,1	0,1
TOTAL	127	3,1	4,4

Table no 6	Operational risk events, divided by events categories and loss amount
Tuble no o	operational risk events, arriada by events categories and toss amount

Operational risk management system requires identification of a causes of an events and implementation of decisions or actions to reduce frequency and financial impact of events. It is completed using change of processes, strengthening of internal controls, adjustments of documentation and procedures and dedicated trainings.

In 2014 Bank reported no material operational risk events, i.e. those for which gross loss exceeds 10% of capital requirements for operational risk.

\_\_\_\_\_

The structure of capital requirements for risks other than credit risk are presented in the table below.

Table no 7Structure of capital requirements for position risk, large exposures exceedingconcentrationlimits, currency, settlement, commodities, operational risks as at 31December 2014 (in PLN thous.)

Capital requirements for market, settlement, delivery risks, large exposures limits exces, operational risk	Amount
Capital requirements for market risk, including:	24 804
- Foreign exchange risk	0
- Commodities risk	0
- Equity securities price risk	0
- Specific debt instrument price risk	17
- General interest rate risk	24 787
Capital requirement for settlement risk	0
Capital requirement for delivery risk	0
Capital requirement for large exposures exceeding concentration limits	0
Capital requirement for operational risk	257 503

# V. Exposure to counterparty credit risk (CRR Article 439)

Counterparty credit risk means the risk that the counterparty to a transaction could default before the final settlement of the transaction's cash flows.

The exposure to counterparty credit risk pertains to exposures arising from derivatives, repurchase transactions, securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, long settlement transactions and margin lending transactions.

Within this catalog, at the end of 2014, the Group had derivatives and repurchase transactions, and regarding securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, long settlement transactions or margin lending transactions there were no transactions or their amounts were immaterial.

The Group presents its exposure to counterparty credit risk primarily under hedging derivatives and derivatives under contracts concluded with customers.

Article 439.a
Internal capital

In respect to the approaches used to assign internal capital to counterparty credit risk exposures, a modified Credit Risk+<sup>2</sup> approach is used, taking into account counterparty risk parameters: PD, LGD & EAD.

### Credit limits

Credit limits applicable to counterparty credit risk exposures are set within the exposure limits for banks and non-bank customers, which are parties to transactions.

For banks, exposure limits are set in accordance with the Instructions for setting and controlling exposure limits to foreign and Polish banks. With respect to foreign exchange transactions, currency swaps, currency options, deposit transactions, FRAs, interest rate swaps and principal-interest rate swaps ("currency and deposit transaction limit") - partial limits are set, which mark the Bank's maximum exposure to outstanding currency purchase/sale transactions (spot and forward), active (outstanding) term deposits in a foreign or Polish bank (without due interest) and other outstanding transactions mentioned above. Irrespective of the partial limits, settlement limits have been set, which are linked to the concentration of the counterparty's obligations towards the Bank for the settlement date agreed on when they were concluded ("value date").

The Group also concludes derivatives contracts upon orders from its customers. With respect to treasury transaction limits (including derivatives) concluded with non-bank customers, granting such limits to a customer is a pre-requisite<sup>3</sup> for the Bank to perform a derivative transaction for the customer. The Bank requires a customer applying for a treasury limit to have credit capacity for its current exposure and additionally for the amount equal to a specific portion of the requested treasury limit, to have a risk rating and natural exposure, that is cash flows under sales and purchases in a convertible currency other than PLN.

### > Article 439.b

# Collateral

As part of the policies for securing collateral, Credit Support Annexes to ISDA agreements (CSAs) are broadly used.

The Bank concludes derivative transactions with other players on the inter-bank market, with which it has signed ISDA agreements (International Swaps and Derivatives Agreements). According to the current market practices, CSAs are signed along with ISDA agreements to regulate the matters related to the collateralization of exposures under concluded derivative transactions. CSAs are bilateral agreements and establish mutual rights for a party whose valuation of derivatives is negative on a given day to request a security deposit.

The position under concluded derivative transactions with customers other than banks is immediately referred for management by inter-bank market dealers and is hedged by an inter-bank market transaction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Statistical credit risk model, developed by Credit Suisse First Boston

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It is possible to conclude transaction under cash deposit, in case of lack of treasury transaction limit

The rules for establishing credit reserves for credit risk are presented in the section entitled "Financial risk management - Credit risk" of the Yearly Financial Report.

## > Article 439.c

The Group does not identify its wrong-way risk exposures as material.

### > Article 439.d

The impact of the amount of collateral the institution would have to provide given a downgrade in its credit rating

The Bank is a party to a loan agreement with the European Investment Bank ("Finance Contract"). The loan amount is EUR 100 million.

At the end of 2014, the loan is secured by State Treasury bonds WZ0117 with a nominal value of PLN 609 million.

According to the provisions of the Finance Contract, in the event of a downgrade in the Bank's credit rating, it will be necessary to establish additional pledges in the form and amounts to the satisfaction of EIB.

### Articles 439.e, 439.f, 439.g, 439.h, 439.i

Fair values of respective derivatives contracts, notional amounts of instruments by maturities and valuation of derivative instruments are presented in notes to the Yearly Financial Report (Note 16f).

Data on security margins and netting of receivables and liabilities under master agreements are presented in Additional Information to the Yearly Financial Report.

To determine the amount of its credit exposure under derivative instruments, the Group applies the Mark-to-market method laid down in Article 274 of C44.

# VI. Capital buffers, Indicators of global systemic importance (CRR Articles 440, 441)

Not applicable

# VII. Credit risk adjustments (CRR Article 442)

### Articles 442.a, 442.b

The Group's strategy and policy applicable to impairment and recognizing impairment charges has been presented in the Report, section 3 "Credit risk" in the part devoted to financial risk management. It contains a detailed description of:
- Organization of the process to identify and measure impairment of credit exposures in order to determine adjustments for specific and general credit risk
- Analysis of individual impairment of credit receivables
- Collective analysis of a credit portfolio
- > <u>Article 442.c</u>

The amount of credit risk exposures (without counterparty credit risk) after accounting offsets and without taking into account the effects of credit risk mitigation, broken down by different types of exposure classes (Original exposure) calculated for own funds requirements purposes.

Table no 8Original amount of credit risk exposures (without counterparty credit risk)after accounting offsets and without taking into account the effects of credit risk mitigation,broken down by different types of exposure classes (Original exposure) as at as at 31December 2014 and average (quarterly) amount in 2014 (in PLN thous.)

Amount of credit risk exposures (without counterparty credit risk) after accounting offsets and without taking into account the effects of credit risk mitigation, broken down by different types of exposure classes (Original exposure)	Amount	Average amount in 2014 (quarterly)
Retail portfolio, including:	36,248,794	35,657,583
* Loans secured by real estate (IRB RRE class)	27,172,713	27,182,189
* Qualifying revolving retail exposures (IRB QRRE class)	2,985,141	2,985,111
* Other retail loans	4,212,008	3,611,793
* Small businesses	1,878,933	1,878,490
Corporate lending portfolio	17,357,592	16,412,082
Amounts due from institutions	2,498,415	2,711,870
Amounts due from government sector and central banks	9,604,655	10,944,689
Trading book	934,147	640,379
TOTAL	66,643,603	66,366,604

### Article 442.d

The Group does not present a geographical distribution of its exposures, since it has no material exposure to counterparties located outside Poland.

### Article 442.e

The Yearly Financial Report (in section 3e "Loans and borrowings" of the chapter 8 on financial risk management) presents detailed figures pertaining to:

• Structure of loans and borrowings granted to customers and banks and key credit portfolio quality parameters:

- Loans not classified as past due and without recognized impairment and distribution of exposures to risk grades used in the internal ratings system.
- Past due loans without recognized impairment and distribution of exposures by time past due and credit portfolio (corporates, mortgage loans, other retail loans)
- Total loans without recognized impairment
- Impairment charges
- Loans and borrowings with recognized impairment and distribution by type of analysis (individual, collective) and credit portfolio
- Loans and borrowings with impairment recognized in individual analysis and distribution by type of credit product, coverage by impairment charges (adjustments for specific credit risk) and currencies
- Size and structure of loans and borrowings coverage by the restructuring of receivables
- Distribution of exposures by industry, broken down into exposure classes/product types (section 3i "Concentration of the risk of financial assets with the credit risk exposure" in the financial risk management chapter).
- Article 442.f

The Group has presented the distribution of the key receivables portfolio by the residual maturity for main exposure types: deposits and loans granted to banks and other monetary institutions (Note 15b to the Yearly Financial Report), loans and borrowings granted to customers (Note 18b to the Yearly Financial Report).

### Article 442.g

The table below presents the distribution of credit receivables by significant industry and presentation of the amounts of impaired exposures, past due exposures, impairment charges (specific and general credit risk adjustments), amounts written down from the balance sheet in connection with revaluation charges.

Table no 9Distribution of credit receivables by significant industry andpresentation of the amounts of impaired exposures, past due exposures, impairment charges(specific and general credit risk adjustments), amounts written down from the balance sheetin connection with revaluation charges, in PLN thous.

	Industry	Impaired balance- sheet exposures	Balance- sheet exposures > 4 days past due	Adjustme nts for general credit risk (IBNR)	Adjustments for specific credit risk (impaired)	Amounts written off from the balance sheet in 2014
А	Agriculture	3 366	16 240	1 002	1 906	174
В	Mining	9 581	7 106	977	2 242	180
с	Processing industry	249 296	344 626	19 891	172 580	11 880
D	Generation and supply of electricity, gas, water Supply of	728	1 324	686	420	41
E	water; sewage and waste	2 825	5 719	529	1 634	91
F	Construction	287 781	337 454	6 072	215 638	73 834
G	Commerce and repairs	175 437	264 788	17 193	123 532	26 300
н	Transportation and warehousing	78 973	372 159	9 893	40 168	6 519
I	Hotels and restaurants	6 389	12 328	2 092	4 733	829
J	Information and communication Financial and	13 912	25 824	2 107	11 788	86
к	insurance business	34 220	39 333	914	21 489	535
L	Real estate administration	99 014	121 952	28 756	37 300	175
M	Other professional, scientific and technical activity	17 687	27 905	2 220	13 830	2 710
	Public	17 007	27703		15 050	2710
0	administration	11	11	328	4	
Р	Education	1 370	6 719	438	907	152
Q	Health care	1 874	4 206	700	960	73

	Culture, recreation and					
R	entertainment	3 180	4 052	173	2 425	164
N+S	Other services	60 540	50 627	2 015	27 862	3 644
	Total	1 046 185	1 642 372	95 986	679 417	127 387

At the same time, part "Credit risk" of the Management Board Activity Report presents a general assessment of the credit risk level and assessment of changes in this respect observed in the current year, along with key asset quality indicators. It also presents an assessment of concentration levels in the credit portfolio, broken down into product types and industries.

### Article 442.h

The Group does not information broken down by significant geographical areas, due to immaterial exposures to counterparties having site in countries other than Poland.

### Article 442.i

The agreed changes in the specific and general credit risk adjustments for impaired exposures are presented in Note 8 to the Yearly Financial Report.

### VIII. Encumbered assets (CRR Article 443)

The following table presents information about the encumbered assets of the Group. For purposes of this disclosure, an asset should be treated as encumbered if it has been pledged or if it is subject to any form of arrangement to secure, collateralise or credit-enhance any on-balance-sheet or off-balance-sheet transaction from which it cannot be freely withdrawn (for instance, to be pledged for funding purposes).

As at 31 December 2014 the Group's used assets in order to secure obligations from following transactions:

- Sales of securities with buy-back clause,
- Lombard credit,
- futures contracts on bonds,
- derivatives transactions,
- Guaranteed Monies Protection Fund under Banking Guarantee Fund,
- Futures Settlements Guarantee Fund.

Assets of the Group	Balance sheet value of encumbered assets	Fair value of encumbered securities	Balance sheet value of unencumbered assets	Fair value of unencumbered securities
Total assets	2 565 964		58 174 518	
Loans on demand	0		3 436 645	
Equity instruments	0	0	10 476	10 476
Debt securities	1 064 870	1 064 870	9 111 185	9 111 185
Other loans and advances	0		43 246 440	
Other assets	1 501 094		2 369 772	

#### Table no 10Encumbered assets, as at 31.12.2014, in PLN thous.

Additionally, in the period from 31 December 2014 to 1 of February 2015 the Bank was obliged to keep on its current account with NBP an average balance of PLN 1 692 897 thousand (obligatory reserve).

### IX. Use of ECAIs<sup>4</sup> (CRR Article 444)

When calculating requirements for own funds for entities other than institutions or government entities, the Group does not use ratings awarded by eligible credit assessment institutions (ECAIs). This is justified by the immaterial number of borrowers and counterparties who have a rating awarded by an ECAI.

The following table presents the mapping of external ratings of each of the named ECAIs to credit quality grades featured in the unified rating Master Scale. The Group recognizes the following external rating agencies: Fitch, Moody's, Standard & Poors.

	Danko master state for ratings used by external rating ageneres							
MS risk grades	Fitch	Moody's	S&P					
1	AAA	Aaa	AAA					
1	AA+	Aa1	AA+					
2	AA	Aa2	AA					
2	AA-	Aa3	AA-					
3	A+	A1	A+					
3	A	A2	A					
4	A-	A3	A-					
5	BBB+	Baa1	BBB+					
6	BBB	Baa2	BBB					
7	BBB-	Baa3	BBB-					
8	BB+	Ba1	BB+					
9	BB	Ba2	BB					
10	BB-	Ba3	BB-					
11	B+	B1	B+					
12	B or lower	B2 or lower	B or lower					

 Table no 11
 Bank's Master Scale vs. ratings used by external rating agencies

### X. Exposure to market risk (CRR Article 445)

The amounts of own funds requirements for different market risks are presented in items IV.4 and IV.5 of Disclosures.

### XI. Operational risk (CRR Article 446)

Description of the calculation methodology and amounts of own funds requirements for the operational risk are presented in items IV.4 and IV.5 of Disclosures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> ECAI - Eligible Credit Assessment Institution

# XII. Exposures in equities not included in the trading book (CRR Article 447)

As at 31 December 2014 the Group had exposures in equities not included in the trading book with total balance-sheet value of PLN 9,723,000. The adopted methods of valuation, balance-sheet classification and effect of measurement at fair value for shares quoted on the active market are presented by the table below:

Balance-sheet classification	Measurement method	Balance-sheet value	Effect of pricing on active quoted market carried in revaluation capital
Investment in subordinates	Equity rights	2 762	-
Shares and stock available for sale	Fair value; in case of stock and shares not quoted on the active market fair value is assumed to be the value at cost of purchase less any impairment charges.	6 641	
Shares and stock available for sale	Fair value measured on the basis of active market quotations	320	311

Table no 12Exposures in equities not included in the trading book; data in PLN thous.

Exposures in the Group's equities most significant from the point of view of balancesheet values, as at 31 December 2014, with assignment of strategic goals, were as follows:

- 1. Polski Standard Płatności sp. z o.o.; balance-sheet value PLN 5 832 000 the purpose of the equity exposure is to introduce into the Bank's offering new products and services for the Bank's customers,
- 2. Lubuskie Fabryki Mebli S.A.; balance-sheet value PLN 2 761 000 the original purpose of the equity exposure in LFM SA was to generate capital gains.
- 3. Biuro Informacji Kredytowej S.A.; balance-sheet value PLN 400 000 the equity exposure is connected with the banking activity;
- 4. Krajowa Izba Rozliczeniowa S.A.; balance-sheet value PLN 313 000 the equity exposure is connected with the banking activity;
- 5. Giełda Papierów Wartościowych SA; balance-sheet value PLN 320 000. the equity exposure is connected with activity on the capital market.

In the analysed period (2014) the Group:

- did not change accounting principles or methods of pricing for stocks and shares,

- realised profit on sale of shares from the "available for sale" book in the amount of PLN 9 826 000,

- in calculating own funds the positive effect of pricing of shares quoted on the active market from the "available for sale" book, presented in the balance-sheet in revaluation capital (shown in the table above) was not taken into account.

# XIII. Exposure to interest rate risk on positions not included in the trading book (CRR Article 448)

Information on exposures to interest rate risk on positions not included in the trading book are presented in the Yearly Financial Report, in the market risk management section of the financial risk management chapter.

### XIV. Exposure to securitisation positions (CRR Article 449)

Exposure to securitisation positions are not material (Explanations in the additional information to the Yearly Financial Report)

### XV. Remuneration policy (CRR Article 450)

### The process of defining the policy for variable remuneration components

The policy of variable remuneration components of employees holding managerial posts in Bank Millennium Group was prepared on the basis of assumptions concerning remuneration of employees in Bank Millennium Group - with consideration of the management and internal control system in use as well as requirements of resolution No. 258/2011 of Polish Financial Supervision Authority - by a project team set up for this purpose and composed of HR, Banking Law and Labour Law, risk management as well as compliance experts. The method of analysis of scopes of liability for risk-based decisions, adopted remuneration assumptions as well as assessment rules and criteria were presented for verification and acceptance to a Steering Committee appointed specifically for this purpose. Similar verification was made by the Committee of a list of positions and persons responsible for taking decisions, which significantly affect the Bank's risk profile. The Steering Committee was composed of Chairman of Bank Millennium, Deputy Chairman, Management Board Member, Director of the Risk Department, Director of the Planning and Management Information Department.

The "Policy for variable components of remuneration of persons in management positions in Bank Millennium SA Group" (Policy) was approved at the meeting of the Supervisory Board of the Bank on 30 November 2012. The Policy has been detailed out with documents describing the rules for Policy implementation separately for Management Board Members and for remaining Risk Takers in the Group. The

documents were approved at the Supervisory Board meeting on 24 March 2013. The list of positions and persons, responsible for taking decisions, which significantly affect risk profile, includes all Members of the Bank's Management Board, all Members of Management Boards of Subsidiaries of the Bank as well as persons particularly responsible for determining or controlling risk profile in the Bank. No external consultants were involved in defining the "Policy for variable components of remuneration of persons in management positions".

# Scope and composition of the Personnel Committee of the Supervisory Board and of the Supervisory Board

Decisions concerning bonuses based on policy of variable remuneration for 2013 have been taken by Personnel Committees: - Personnel Committee of the Supervisory Board composed of a majority of Supervisory Board Members, and Personnel Committee of the Management Board, consisting of all Management Board members.

The Personnel Committee of the Supervisory Board is responsible i.a. for determining the terms and conditions of employment and assessment of work of Members of the Bank's Management Board. Starting from 2013 tasks of the Committee include giving an opinion about the Policy for variable remuneration components, including amount and types of components granted to persons in management positions who have significant influence on the Bank's risk profile. The Personnel Committee of the Supervisory Board held three meetings in 2014, during which "Policy for variable components of remuneration of persons in management positions in Bank Millennium SA Group" has been reviewed. The Committee made also decisions concerning awarding variable remuneration components (including payment of postponed remuneration components) for the Management Board Members after completion of evaluated periods. Whereas Personnel Committee of the Management Board held two meetings during which it evaluated and awarded variable compensation within yearly bonus programs for Risk Takers who are not Members of the Management Board.

### Information concerning remuneration levels

Remuneration of persons, who take decisions, which affect risk profile, is defined with consideration of:

- Scope of tasks performed in the organisational unit,
- Level of responsibility facing the employees and
- Based on an analysis of salary information presented in surveys of salaries in the financial institutions.

Variable remuneration components - the annual bonus pool for persons in management positions is granted following prior analysis of the Bank's situation as regards:

- Attained business results: net profit, result on banking activity, cost/income ratio, ROE ratio;
- liquidity: loans/deposits ratio, value of liquid assets;
- capital adequacy ratios as compared with KNF benchmarks.

The Bank's results are analysed in a 3-year perspective prior to awarding the bonus pool for variable remuneration.

The level of bonus pool is correlated with attainment of result on banking activity, net profit, cost/income ratio, ROE. Capital adequacy ratios in the evaluated period cannot fall below the levels accepted by KNF.

Risk indicators relating to the Bank's liquidity in the evaluated period cannot fall below levels accepted by KNF. The bonus pool may be increased as the ratios improve.

The value of bonuses granted to Risk Takers cannot exceed 100% of the base salary in accordance to the Policy of variable remuneration components adopted in Bank Millennium Group.

Criteria of assessment of results on the level of the bank, units and individual (financial and non-financial), which are the basis for determining and paying the individual variable remuneration:

### Distribution of bonus pool

### Members of the Bank's Management Board

Decisions concerning the granting of bonus to Management Board Members are taken by the Personnel Committee of the Supervisory Board following an analysis of performance concerning

financial criteria:

- execution of planned budgets and ratios for the area of activity under management,
- comparison with competitors in the same segment of the market,
- market business criteria defined for the period;

as well as non-financial criteria, in particular:

- overall management quality in the area of responsibility,
- effective leadership and contribution to the Bank's development,
- management and supervision over units in the area of responsibility.

### Other persons covered by the Policy for variable remuneration components

The Personnel Committee of the Management Board of Bank Millennium makes an evaluation of work in the relevant period from the point of view of quantitative criteria for the particular area of responsibility (results of banking activity of business lines) as well as discretionary evaluation of individual work quality, on this basis determining the amount of annual discretionary bonus.

# Main parameters and principles of determining remuneration and method of linking to performance

### Management Board Members

The bonus pool for payments of bonus to Management Board Members may not exceed 100% of the value of total annual salaries and 2% of Consolidated Net Profit of Millennium Group.

Granting and payment of 50% of the value of variable components of remuneration is done only after the end of the relevant period and after announcement of financial

results, while payment of the remaining 50% of variable remuneration shall be deferred and paid in three equal annual instalments. Members of the Management Board shall receive each part of the awarded bonus - paid in the year coming after the assessment period and deferred - half in cash and half in a financial instrument, the value of which relates to the value of shares of Bank Millennium.

### Other persons covered by the Policy for variable remuneration components

Other persons covered by the Policy for variable remuneration components are paid the bonus in 50% in cash form in the year coming after the accounting year, while the remaining 50% deferred for 3 years is paid in a financial instrument, which relates to the value of shares of Bank Millennium, in equal annual instalments.

Variable remuneration of other persons covered by the Policy may not exceed 100% of their total annual remuneration.

### Principles for granting deferred components

The bonus in its deferred part shall be reassessed in subsequent years and pursuant to a decision of the Personnel Committee of the Supervisory Board it may be subjected to mauls or clawback, depending on the Bank's financial situation resulting from actions taken in the assessed period.

The condition for payout shall be the non-occurrence of the events below:

- significant adjustment of performance with respect to the assessed period,
- low level of the Bank's results, threatening the capital base,
- materialisation of the risk of decisions in the assessed period, adversely affecting the bank's risk profile.

### Quantitative information regarding renumeration

Aggregated quantitative information concerning remuneration of persons in management positions in Bank Millennium Group, who have material impact on its risk profile, in the meaning of article 450 of CRR

Table no 13 Aggregate quantitative information on remuneration, broken down by areas of the company's activity and by senior management and members of staff whose actions have a material impact on the risk profile of the institution (PLN thous.)

Business lines	Total remuneration for 2014					
Dusiness thes	Management Board	Risk Takers (without Management Board Members)	Total			
Retail Banking		996	996			
Corporate Banking		4 607	4 607			
Overall Bank Management	16 567	6 235	22 802			
Total	16 567	11 837	28 404			

Table no 14 The amounts of remuneration for the financial year, split into fixed and variable remuneration, and the number of beneficiaries; the amounts and forms of variable remuneration, split into cash, shares, share-linked instruments and other types; the amounts of outstanding deferred remuneration, split into vested and unvested portions; broken down by Management Board Members, persons reporting directly to Management Board Members and other persons in management positions in Bank Millennium Group, whose actions have a material impact on the risk profile of the institution (PLN thous.)

	Management Board	Persons in management positions - reporting directly to Management Board Members	Other persons in management positions
Number of persons	7	26	5
Fixed remuneration	8 867	8 558	1 370
Variable remuneration (*)	7 700	1 669	240
Total cash	3 850	853	123
Cash paid	1 925	853	123
Cash deferred	1 925	0	0
Total financial			
instrument (*)	3 850	817	118
Vested financial instrument	1 925	0	0
Deferred financial	1 925	0	0
instrument	1 925	817	118
Paid financial			
instrument	0	0	0

(\*) Variable remuneration of Management Board Members for 2014 was granted in the decision of Supervisory Board Personnel Committee on 21<sup>st</sup> May, 2015

Table no 15 The amounts of deferred remuneration awarded during the financial year, paid out and reduced through performance adjustments for persons in management positions, broken down by Management Board Members, persons reporting directly to Management Board Members and other persons in management positions in Bank Millennium Group, whose actions have a material impact on the risk profile of the institution (PLN thous.)

	Management Board	Persons in management positions - reporting directly to Management Board Members	Other persons in management positions
Number of persons	7	24	3
Deferred remuneration paid out during the financial year, subject to adjustment for performance in previous			
financial years	679	281	20

Table no 16 New sign-on and severance payments made during the financial year, and the number of beneficiaries of such payments among persons in management positions, broken down by Management Board Members, persons reporting directly to Management Board Members and other persons in management positions in Bank Millennium Group, whose actions have a material impact on the risk profile of the institution (PLN thous.)

	Management Board	Persons in management positions - reporting directly to Management Board Members	Other persons in management positions		
New sign-on and severance payments	1 740	0	0		
Highest such payment Number of persons	1 740	0	0		
receiving such payments	1	0	0		

The number of individuals being remunerated EUR 1 million or more per financial year

No such individuals.

### XVI. Leverage (CRR Article 451)

As at 31 December 2014, the leverage ratio at the Group level was 8,29%

When calculating leverage, the Group does not apply the derogations set forth in Articles 499.2 and 499.3 of CRR. Leverage ratio is calculated both with respect to Tier 1 capital and using the temporary definition of Tier 1 capital.

The distribution of the total exposure measure used in the leverage ratio and leverage levels as at 31 December 2014 are presented in the table below:

Table no 17	Distribution of	the	total	exposure	measure	and	leverage	levels	as	at	31
December 2014	4, (in PLN thous.,	%).									

The amount and distribution of total exposure used in the leverage ratio, according to COREP report	1 month	2 months	3 months
Exposure to equity financing transactions in accordance with Article 220 of CRR	2 033 890 692	1 390 290 069	155 583 000
Derivatives: market value	621 837 738	614 635 632	618 968 267
Undrawn credit facilities unconditionally cancellable at any time without notice	678 212 461	690 202 786	722 804 005
Other assets	57 909 095 334	58 206 719 919	59 417 975 106
Medium/low risk trade finance off- balance sheet items	126 300 415	121 954 831	122 008 791

Medium risk trade finance off-balance sheet items and off-balance sheet items related to officially supported export financing	264 478 045	264 151 272	244 027 578
Other off-balance sheet items	587 525 733	76 121 082	61 796 432
Leverage ratio - using the fully implemented Tier 1 Capital definition	8,21	8,32	8,25
Leverage ratio - using the temporary Tier 1 Capital definition	8,30	8,41	8,38

The Group does not apply amounts that have been excluded from the total exposure measure in accordance with CRR 429.11.

With the Risk Strategy assumptions currently in place, the Group assesses the risk of excessive financial leverage as immaterial and therefore no specific procedures have been developed in this area.

The table below presents the leverage ratio levels in 2014; its level is satisfactory, with slight changes, and therefore a description of factors that had an impact on its levels is not justified.

Table no 18	Leverage ratio in 2014 (in %)
-------------	-------------------------------

Leverage ratio	30.06.2014	30.09.2014	31.12.2014
Leverage ratio - using the fully implemented Tier 1 Capital definition, calculated as an arithmetic average			
	8.23	8.14	8.26
Leverage ratio - using the temporary Tier 1 Capital definition, calculated as an arithmetic average			
	8.44	8.30	8.36

### XVII. Qualifying requirements for the use of particular instruments and methodologies - use of the IRB approach to credit risk (CRR Article 452)

# XVII.1 Competent authority's approval to use the IRB Approach (CRR Article 452.a)

As at 31 December 2014, the Banco Comercial Portugues Group (the parent owner of Bank Millennium SA) has obtained the described below approvals of the competent authority pertaining to the use of the IRB Approach by the Group and Bank Millennium SA ("IRB Decisions"). Both approvals were issued by Banco de Portugal (the consolidating regulator of the Banco Comercial Portugues Group) in cooperation with the Polish Financial Supervision Commission.

The approval issued at the end of 2012 to use the Advanced IRB Approach for two credit portfolios of: retail exposures to individual clients secured by residential property (RRE) and qualifying revolving retail exposures (QRRE). The first IRB decision contained a "regulatory floor" according to which the minimum own funds requirements for the portfolios covered by the decisions had to be temporarily maintained at no less than 80% of the relevant capital requirements calculated using the Standardized Approach. The regulatory floor applied until the relevant authority determined that the conditions set forth by this decision have been fulfilled.

The approval issued at the end of 2014 entails:

a) reduction of the "regulatory floor" for RRE and QRRE portfolios from 80% to 70% of the relevant capital requirements calculated using the Standardized Approach. The regulatory floor shall be applied portfolio by portfolio, and should be adjusted up or downwards in case of any difference between expected losses and provisions so that the impact in the solvency ratios is exactly the same of considering only 70% of the capital requirements calculated under the standard method. The regulatory floor may be ultimately removed after the Group meets certain conditions by 31 December 2015.

b) commencement of use of the IRB Approach for the other retail exposures class is conditional upon meeting certain conditions by 30 June 2015.

c) commencement of use of the IRB Approach for corporates (including specialized lending) is conditional upon meeting certain conditions, while the new application to use the IRB Approach should not be submitted before 31 December 2016.

d) the permission to make changes to models applicable to RRE and QRRE portfolios is conditional upon meeting certain conditions, while the new application to use the IRB Approach to these portfolios should be submitted until 30 June 2015.

# XVII.2 Structure of internal rating systems and relation between internal and external ratings (CRR Article 452.b.i)

The Group defines a rating system as all of the methods, processes, controls, data collection and IT systems that are used for the assessment of credit risk and for classification of exposures to a pool with a specified risk level, including the rules on the priority of rating models, if applicable, and the rules for overriding rating grades. Elements of the rating system include PD, LGD, CCF-EAD models (hereinafter: models) and methodologies for evaluating specialized lending.

Evaluation of the client's credit risk in respect to its probability of default (PD) is based on a uniform rating scale, referred to as the Master Scale.

The Master Scale (MS) has 15 risk grades, where ratings 1 to 3 are equivalent to a high credit quality, ratings 4-6: good credit quality, ratings 7-12 average and low credit quality and ratings 13-15 are procedural ratings used for impaired exposures.

All the clients with available lending, whether or not they actually use the approved credit limits and all other participants of credit transactions should have a previously awarded rating and should be assigned to an appropriate pool.

An adequate credit or rating policy should specify the model to be used for rating purposes or a homogenous pool for a given client segment.

Each PD model used must be calibrated to MS based on the observed or estimated probability of default.

The rating for governments, central banks, international organizations, multilateral development banks and Institutions may be assigned based on a rating awarded by recognized rating agencies, mapped to the Master Scale.

Should the above-mentioned entities have more than one classification awarded by recognised rating agencies (split rating) the rating corresponding to the second best risk shall be taken into account at all times.

The table showing relationships between internal and external risk grades is presented in chapter VIII of the Disclosures. The Bank recognizes the following external rating agencies for comparison purposes: Fitch, Moody's, Standard & Poors.

A rating awarded through a behavioral model (behavioral rating) by default takes precedence over a rating awarded through an application model (application rating) if behavioral rating only is awarded.

Procedural ratings (13, 14 and 15 according to the Master Scale) are awarded to clients showing signs of deteriorating borrowing capacity and creditworthiness or with overdue debt.

Procedural ratings by default take precedence over application ratings.

After the pre-conditions necessary to award any of the procedural ratings are no longer satisfied, ratings 13 and 14 expire immediately, while rating 15 either expires or is maintained for a "quarantine period".

### XVII.3 Use of internal estimates other than for calculating riskweighted exposure amounts (CRR Article 452.b.ii)

The Group acts in accordance with the IRB principles for the application of "use test" criteria. This means that the risk parameters used to calculate capital requirements for credit risk are also the parameters that are used for other internal purposes, in particular in the risk management process. Internal rating or internal loss estimation models play a major role in the risk management process and in the decision process at different risk management levels, i.e. for the purposes of defining the Bank's credit risk strategy, for approving and monitoring credit risk and for allocating economic capital.

The Group has many years of experience in using internal rating models, since individual rating systems have been used to evaluate client risk since the 1990s. Ever since that time, the methodologies have been developed, improved and, to an increasing extent, incorporated in business processes, thus boosting risk management "culture" and awareness in the management process.

• Management information system

Internal estimates are used broadly in the management information system in the areas of risk and operating activity. The individual management levels (Supervisory Board, Management Board, specialized committees) receive detailed information about exposure to individual risks types and about the risk profile, including estimated risk parameters. This allows for effective risk management.

• Risk Appetite

Internal estimates have been used to determine the "risk appetite" of the Bank and the Bank Millennium Group. The risk appetite incorporates measures, buffers and quantitative limits which, along with qualitative guidelines on managing individual risk types, determine the Bank's propensity for risk. Risk parameters are also an important element of the risk strategy being pursued, which includes objectives and guidelines for managing different risk types.

• Concentration limits

In the area of credit concentration risk and risk of significant exposures, internal estimates have been used to develop exposure limits for individual segments of the credit portfolio. For this purpose, a risk level calculated using risk parameters is compared to the available financial resources, which may be used to secure the risk, including a buffer for a potential increase in risk.

• Decision-making powers

Credit decision-making powers are an important area where internal estimates are applied. The levels of limits for decision-making powers rely on the client's MS risk grade and the total exposure to its economic group (and the group of related entities in which the client is a member).

o Evaluation of borrowing capacity and creditworthiness

Internal estimates affect significantly the evaluation of the client's borrowing capacity and creditworthiness. The rating affects the borrowing capacity through the following activities: (a) verification of "cut-off point" criteria which determine the maximum

acceptable rating for each segment/product; (b) calculation of the client's credit limit.

• Loan prices and pricing policy

Risk parameters are also used for pricing credit transactions, by reflecting the cost of risk and the cost of capital in the price.

• Economic capital

Credit and market risk parameters are used as one of the elements that allow the Bank to calculate economic capital corresponding to the risk. Economic capital in turn is used to evaluate the safety of operations, to allocate and reallocate capital to business lines, to evaluate risk-based efficiency and to determine concentration limits.

# XVII.4 Description of the process for managing and recognizing credit risk mitigation (CRR Article 452.b.iii)

The main criterion considered in the Group when making a decision to provide financing on specific terms is the evaluation whether the client has capacity to service the financing on a timely basis without a need to realize the collateral. Collateral is accepted to reduce credit risk incurred by the Group if the client fails to make the payments in contractual amounts and on contractual dates. Accordingly, the requirements for accepted collateral should correspond to the credit risk incurred by the Group in connection with the specific client, while taking into account the specific features of each individual financing transaction. Additionally, in the case of loans to finance real property (in particular retail mortgage loans), establishing collateral on the property is a mandatory element of the credit product.

The Group's collateral policy defines the principles governing the types, kinds and legal forms of collateral, the rules for valuating collateral and the requirements to be satisfied when collateral is accepted, the rules of measuring and monitoring collateral.

The list of collateral types accepted by the Group is long and includes financial security, mortgage, material collateral, guarantees, sureties and receivables. The accepted collateral types have been described in detail and the Group has also defined the terms relating to features of individual asset types on which they can be accepted as collateral. The Group has also defined a list of acceptable legal forms of collateral, taking into account the risk associated with the probability that the collateral might be lost, in particular upon bankruptcy of or enforced debt collection against the client.

The Group has defined the rules for measuring the value of assets accepted as collateral.

For financial collateral, its value is determined on the basis of current market valuation of the asset, less relevant haircuts, including price volatility haircuts.

Mortgage collateral is measured on the basis of valuations prepared by expert appraisers verified by the Group's specialized units. As the value of collateral of retail loans is monitored during their service, the collateral amount is revaluated using the statistical method based on real property price indices. For physical collateral, valuation depends on the type, unit value of the asset and age of the asset; the valuation is performed most frequently on the basis of the estimated market price determined by the Group's specialized units.

In each case, the units performing the valuations/verifying the valuations are separate from sales units.

Depending on the type and kind of collateral items, the Bank monitors them in order to:

- ensure that the contractual terms of collateral are satisfied, which includes confirmation of legal certainty,
- update the value of collateral,
- verify that the collateral exists (local visits).

The Group additionally uses a range of supplementary collateral to facilitate enforcement or increase probability of achieving repayment from a given collateral type.

# XVII.5 Control mechanisms for rating systems and rating systems review (CRR Article 452.b.iv)

The Group has implemented the principle of strict separation of commercial functions generating credit risk (which are performed in the Business Area) and client risk and exposure evaluation functions (which are performed by units in the Risk Area). In the area of retail exposures, the final risk grade (rating) is awarded automatically. In respect to corporate exposures, risk grades are awarded to clients and ratings monitored and changed when necessary during their term by the specialized Ratings Department.

Management of rating models, including the performance of control functions, is regulated in internal procedures pertaining to model development, model calibration and model monitoring. Responsibility for these actions has been assigned to designated model owners.

In order to ensure the appropriate control and review of the rating systems (adequate estimation of risk parameters and correct course of the rating and credit decision process), a validation and monitoring process has been introduced.

The monitoring process is performed by the unit responsible for model development.

The validation process is performed by a unit independent from the organizational units responsible for model development.

The following units handle the monitoring and validation process:

- The Risk Committee, which has general responsibility for risk control
- The Bank's Validation Committee which is responsible for confirming the results risk models validation and for continuing the implementation of the measures prescribed by the Model Validation Bureau. Validation results are then ratified by the Risk Committee.

- The Model Validation Bureau, which is responsible for qualitative and quantitative analyses, model validation independent from model development, development of model validation and monitoring methodologies, preparation of reports for the Validation Committee.
- Model Owners and Rating System Owners responsible for the development function which involves the following: new model development, recalibration of existing models, management of factors affecting the use of a model, implementation of Validation Committee recommendations on its own and in cooperation with the IT team.

Reports and recommendations from model monitoring are approved by the Head of the Risk Department. The results are presented to the Validation Committee and then approved by the Risk Committee..

Reports and recommendations of the Validation Team are approved by the Validation Committee.

The Chairman of the Validation Committee is obligated to submit to the Risk Committee and, if necessary, to other committees responsible for controlling credit risk, requests from the Validation Committee regarding all the credit risk models and rating systems and the implementation status of corrective action, if any.

The Bank stores the documentation of implemented models, rating systems, monitoring and validation reports and the methodologies used to prepare monitoring and validation reports; minutes on decisions made by the Validation Committee and the Risk Committee.

In addition, the Internal Audit Department shall review rating systems according to the annual Audit Plans approved by the Supervisory Board. These include the credit area, in particular issues of risk parameters estimation: PD, LGD, CCF and expected loss EL. Audit inspections include also an assessment of the model management processes, monitoring and validation. Inspections are carried out on the basis of uniform audit programs and methodologies approved by the Audit Committee of the Supervisory Board.

### XVII.6 Description of the internal ratings process (CRR Article 452.c)

### XVII.6.1 Central governments and central banks (CRR Article 452.c.i)

This exposure class is excluded permanently from the IRB approach.

### XVII.6.2 Institutions (CRR Article 452.c.ii)

This exposure class is excluded permanently from the IRB approach.

# XVII.6.3 Corporates, including SMEs, specialised lending and purchased corporate receivables (CRR Article 452.c.iii)

Exposure classes subject to the plan of gradual implementation of the IRB approach. In accordance with the last IRB permission, the Group plans to file the IRB application for these portfolios on 31 December 2016 at the earliest.

### XVII.6.4 Retail exposures (CRR Article 452.c.iv)

### • PD models

The rating process in Bank Millennium is based on the following principles:

- i. awarding risk grades to all credit exposures;
- ii. All credit decisions should be preceded by awarding a risk grade to the client;
- iii. In the retail segment, the rating process is based on PD scoring/rating models;
- iv. The rating process is separated and independent from the credit decision process.

The presented rating principles apply to all categories of retail exposures: retail exposures to individuals secured by residential real estate, qualifying revolving retail exposures and other retail exposures.

The class of retail exposures to individuals secured by residential real estate include exposures which are mortgage loans or home equity loans granted to retail clients (small businesses and private individuals) and secured by mortgage.

The class of qualifying revolving retail exposures includes exposures to natural persons which are unsecured, renewable, with exposures not exceeding EUR 100,000 and which meet the requirement of low volatility of loss rates.

All the retail exposures that do not qualify to the above categories are treated as other retail exposures. They are covered by the IRB roll-out plan, and according to the last IRB permission, IRB Approval Pack regarding these exposures will be delivered until the 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2015.

In the rating process, the powers are allocated as follows:

- a) Data input
- b) Verification of data
- c) Awarding of the final risk grade (automated decision);

Model-based risk grades and procedural ratings are awarded automatically and are not subject to expert adjustments.

In the rating process, the Bank uses data from various available sources:

- internal sources (Bank's IT systems),
- external sources (Biuro Informacji Kredytowej S.A.)
- data received from customers.

With respect to probability of default (PD) models for retail exposures, there is a rating system in place for microbusinesses and a rating system for private individuals. Both systems use behavioral scoring models and application scoring models designed for specific client and/or product groups. Procedural ratings are awarded to clients showing signs of deteriorating borrowing capacity and creditworthiness or with past due debt.

A procedural rating has the priority in use. If the client has no procedural rating then the behavioral rating should be used, provided that it has been awarded. Behavioral rating is awarded for the first time after three months of the client's cooperation with the Bank and then monthly, provided that the client's accounts meet the requirements of the behavioral model.

If the client has no procedural rating and no behavioral rating then the application rating should be used.

• LGD models

Loss Given Default (LGD) models have been built for the following two portfolios:

- a) unsecured portfolio for retail clients,
- b) portfolio secured by residential real estate for retail clients.

Pursuant to CRR, as amended, banks must estimate LGD parameters using data on defaulted exposures from all the available sources, taking into account all information that is significant for the estimation of economic loss levels.

Accordingly, the Bank has estimated LGD parameters using a database that contains all the defaults resulting from quantitative and qualitative premises included in default definitions.

According to the LGD calculation methodology, the main factors in the calculation include: probability of cure or completion of the client recovery process, value of recoveries, costs and discount rate.

The Bank has taken the following approach to building LGD models:

- Estimate the probability of the path of cure from the default status, i.e. a probability tree;
- Estimate loss parameters for each path of cure from default.

Loss given default is estimated at a transaction level.

• Exposure at Default (EAD) models

An EAD model has been built for retail portfolio exposures. When estimating EAD, exposure at default was compared to the value of the limit and the book value of the exposure observed one year before the default event. Credit Conversion Factor (CCF) parameters have been calculated for product groups for which an off-balance sheet exposure could occur and where the Bank had a significant number of observations that enabled statistical conclusions to be drawn, i.e. for overdraft limits and for credit cards. In the case of guarantees, where the number of observations was too low to carry out statistical analyses, a conservative CCF value was used. At the same time, EAD model for RRE portfolio was not developed due to immaterial number of observations.

### XVII.6.5 Equities (CRR Article 452.c.v)

In equity exposures, the Millennium Group classifies shares and equity instruments held by any of the Bank's units. On the consolidated basis, however, the shares representing investments in subsidiaries are excluded, since those are classified as intragroup transactions. However due to the fact that the total value of the Group's equity portfolio is insignificant, it has been decided that these exposures should be excluded from the IRB approach permanently and the capital requirement for these exposures should be calculated based on the standardized approach.

XVII.6.6 Exposure values by exposure classes, including the value of outstanding loans (balance sheet), unused commitments (off-balance sheet), average risk weights, average risk-weighted exposure amounts (CRR Article 452.d.e)

Table no 19 Amounts of exposures by exposure classes, including the amount of outstanding loans (balance sheet), unused commitments (off-balance sheet) as at 31 December 2014 (in PLN thous.)

No. Exposure class		Exposure	Exposure type			
NO.		amount	balance sheet	off-balance sheet	Derivatives	REPO transactions
	Governments and					
1	central banks	11 906 036	11 870 377	35 658		
2	Institutions	2 653 941	2 374 649	70 165	209 127	
3	Corporates	10 654 092	10 122 616	531 476		
4	Retail exposures, including:	35 051 593	33 577 205	1 474 388		
4a	- Retail exposures secured by residential real estate	27 104 739	27 104 739			
4b	- Qualifying revolving retail exposures	2 596 355	1 138 270	1 458 086		
4c	- Other retail exposures	5 350 498	5 334 197	16 302		
5	Specialised lending	831 226	831 226			
6	Equity exposures	10 477	10 477			
7	Exposures in the trading book	1 499 712	934 287		409 841	155 583
8	Other non credit- exposure assets	1 285 154	1 285 154			
9	TOTAL	63 892 230	61 005 990	2 111 688	618 968	155 583

Table no 20 Amount of exposure, risk-weighted exposure and the average risk weight by exposure class as at 31 December 2014 (in PLN thous.)

No.	Exposure class	Exposure amount	Risk- weighted exposure amount	Average risk weight
1	Governments and central banks	11 906 036	0	0,0%
2	Institutions	2 653 941	898 407	33,9%
3	Corporates	10 654 092	9 794 841	91,9%
4	Retail exposures, including:	35 051 593	10 956 926	31,3%

4a	- Retail exposures secured by residential real estate	27 104 739	6 079 466	22,4%
4b	- Qualifying revolving retail exposures	2 596 355	1 025 128	39,5%
4c	- Other retail exposures	5 350 499	3 852 332	72,0%
5	Specialized lending	831 226	820 696	98,7%
6	Equity exposures	10 477	11 879	113,4%
7	Exposures in the trading book	1 499 712	228 101	15,2%
8	Other non credit-exposure assets	1 285 154	869 651	67,7%
9	TOTAL	63 892 230	23 580 500	36,9%

# XVII.6.7 Amount of retail exposures in respective rating classes grouped by classes to allow for a meaningful differentiation of credit risk (CRR Article 452.f)

Table no 21Amount of exposure, risk-weighted exposure and the average risk weight byexposure class as at 31 December 2014 (in PLN thous.)

No.	Exposure class	Exposure amount
1	Retail exposures secured by residential real estate	27 104 739
1.1	• High credit quality (1-3 MS)	17 650 942
1.2	• Good credit quality (4-6 MS)	5 115 143
1.3	• Average and low credit quality (7-12 MS)	3 251 064
1.4	Procedural ratings (13-15 MS)	1 087 591
2	Qualifying revolving retail exposures	2 596 355
2.1	• High credit quality (1-3 MS)	652 274
2.2	• Good credit quality (4-6 MS)	908 291
2.3	• Average and low credit quality (7-12 MS)	866 533
2.4	Procedural ratings (13-15 MS)	169 258

# XVII.6.8 Actual specific credit risk adjustments for each exposure class (CRR Article 452.g)

Table no 22Amount of specific credit risk adjustments for each exposure class as at 31December 2014 (in PLN thous.)

No.	Exposure class	Value of adjustments for specific credit risk
1	Governments and central banks	0
2	Institutions	531

3	Corporates	521 484
4	Retail exposures, including:	740 121
4a	Retail exposures secured by residential real     estate	228 929
4b	Qualifying revolving retail exposures	66 838
4c	Other retail exposures	444 354
5	Specialised lending	86 890
6	Equity exposures	0
7	Exposures in the trading book	
8	Other non credit-exposure assets	0
9	TOTAL	1 349 027

The Group has not observed significant differences in value adjustments in 2014 compared to previous experiences.

### XVII.6.9 Description of the factors that impacted on the loss experience, in conjunction with the actual results in a longer term. (CRR Article 452.h.i.j.) Comparison of actual and modeled PD

The tables below present the calculation of actual default rates and estimated default rates for portfolios covered by the permission to use the IRB approach.

Table no 23	Actual and estimated default rates for the QRRE portfolio (in %)

Term	Estimated default rate	Actual default rate
2012	3.12%	2.16%
2013	2.74%	2.16%
2014	2.68%	1.99%

Table no 24 Actual and estimated default rates for the portfolio of loans secured by residential property (in %)

Term	Estimated default rate	Actual default rate
2012	1.12%	0.49%
2013	0.80%	0.49%
2014	0.78%	0.48%

The actual default rates have fallen in recent years and are now lower than estimated. The observed decrease in actual default rates in recent years is consistent with the observed market trends and may be explained by the following:

- Stable macroeconomic situation,
- Change of the Bank's credit policy introduced in 2010 in response to the global financial crisis.

The actual default rates were also lower than the average probability of default (PD) because of the following:

- Higher level of default rates in previous years, which were taken into account when estimating the long-term PD
- The fact that the long-term PD estimation also included a conservative buffer for estimation errors, which boosts the estimated PD levels.

### Comparison of actual and modeled CCF

The analysis of actual CCF has been conducted for QRRE portfolio cases defaulted during the calendar year 2014 (reporting period) and 2013 (comparative period) which, at the end of the preceding year (31 December 2013 and 31 December 2012, respectively) were not defaulted and had a positive off-balance sheet exposure. The analysis involved a comparison of the average actual conversion factors with average modeled levels (average weighed by the amount of off-balance sheet exposure was applied in both cases). The modeled factors include a number of conservative haircuts and should be higher than the actual figures. The results are presented in the table below.

	2014	2013
Modeled CCF	82.4%	80.6%
Actual CCF	60.3%	41.0%

In both the reporting period and the comparative period, actual CCF levels did not exceed the modeled levels. Since the Bank has not recorded higher than expected credit conversion factors, this credit risk element does not lead to the occurrence of higher than expected losses.

### Comparison of actual and modeled LGD

The analysis of actual LGD was carried out for cases from RRE and QRRE portfolios. Calculation of actual LGD figures requires a longer time horizon, because recoveries may occur only after the exposure achieves the default status. Accordingly, the calculation of actual LGDs was based on the cases, which defaulted by December 2012. The average LGD calculated on the basis of these cases (average weighed by the exposure size) was compared with the average LGD level obtained from the model used (average weighed by the exposure size was applied in both cases). The modeled values include a number of conservative haircuts and should be higher than the actual losses. The results are presented in the table below.

Table no 26	Comparison of actual and modeled LGD (in %)
-------------	---

LGD	Portfolio		
	RRE	QRRE	
Actual	20,7%	62,9%	

Modeled	31,6%	84,2%
---------	-------	-------

For both analyzed portfolio, modeled loss amounts were much higher than actual figures. We can therefore state that there were no unexpected losses associated with LGD levels and the model used has proven to be sufficiently conservative.

### > CRR Article 452.j.

Not applicable. The Group does not have companies conducting credit activity abroad.

### XVII.7 Use of credit risk mitigation techniques (CRR Article 453)

### > CRR Article 453.a

The Group does not make use of on- and off-balance sheet netting.

### CRR Article 453.b

### Policies and processes for collateral valuation and management

In the collateral management area, the Group applies the approach, in which collateral is used to ensure that the Group receives the repayment of principal, interest, commissions and fees if the client fails to make the payments in contractual amounts and on contractual dates. However the main source for the repayment of receivables is always the borrower's income and/or the funded project. Accordingly, collateral should correspond to the credit risk incurred by the Bank, while taking into account the specific features of each individual credit transaction.

Legal collateral is applicable until all the amounts due to the Group under the collateralized credit transaction are repaid. The validity date or maturity date of collateral should not be earlier than the date of total repayment of the secured credit transaction.

### Real estate collateral (revaluation)

In respect to the valuation of loan collateral in the largest credit portfolio, i.e. residential retail loans, the loan application review process must include in each case a valuation of the real estate securing the loan performed by an expert appraiser.

The Group monitors collateral in order to:

- update the base value of the collateral,
- ensure that the contractual terms of collateral are satisfied,
- verify that the collateral exists (local visits).

The base value of mortgage collateral may be updated using one of the following forms:

- assessment of the value of the real estate, understood as the Group's estimation of the current value of the real estate collateralizing the credit transaction, based on the methodologies used by the Bank or on an analysis of the real estate market analysis on the date of the assessment.
- valuation by an expert appraiser.

#### Update of the base value of financial collateral

In the case of financial collateral classified as "participation units in mutual funds sold by Bank Group entities and managed by Millennium TFI" and "WSE-listed shares", their base value is updated daily.

### Update of the base value of material collateral

The base value of material collateral is updated by assessing the value of material collateral. The value of material collateral is assessed once a year.

Assessment of material collateral value involves the application of depreciation ratios determined by the age and type of the material collateral, to its initial value. The application of depreciation begins in the year following the year of production. An assumed period of use is assumed for every collateral item, after which a zero value of collateral is assumed.

### CRR Article 453.c

The table below presents the types, kinds and legal forms of collateral accepted by the Bank. The collateral acceptance process is regulated by special procedures. Other collateral types may be accepted if they meet certain specified requirements.

Туре	Kind	Legal form
Financial	Term deposit in the Bank in PLN/foreign currency with a 100% principal guarantee	Ownership transfer
	Superduet Deposit	For a deposit:
	in PLN/foreign currency with a 100% principal	- Ownership transfer
	guarantee in the deposit part	For participation units in mutual funds:
		Registered pledge (ultimately) and Ordinary pledge (as temporary collateral)
	Prestige Investment Program	Transfer of receivables.
	in PLN/foreign currency	
	Guarantee policy	Transfer of receivables
	Megazysk insurance agreement	Transfer of receivables
	Term deposit in another bank	Transfer of receivables
	in PLN/foreign currency with a 100% principal guarantee	Registered pledge (ultimately) and Ordinary pledge (as temporary collateral)
	Participation units in mutual funds	Registered pledge (ultimately) and Ordinary pledge (as temporary collateral)
		Ordinary pledge
	WSE-listed shares	Ownership transfer
	included in WIG 20 stock index, deposited in Millennium Brokerage House	Registered pledge (ultimately) and Ordinary pledge (as temporary collateral)
		Ordinary pledge
	Treasury bills	Ownership transfer
	deposited in the Bank	Registered pledge (ultimately) and Ordinary pledge (as temporary collateral)
		Ordinary pledge
	Dematerialized State Treasury bonds admitted	Ownership transfer
	to organized trading, deposited in the Bank or in Millennium Brokerage House	Registered pledge (ultimately) and Ordinary pledge

Table no 22	Types and kinds of collateral used by the Group
Tuble no ZZ	Types and kinds of condicinal used by the droup

Туре	Kind	Legal form
		(as temporary collateral)
		Ordinary pledge
	Dematerialized State Treasury bonds not admitted to organized trading, deposited in the Bank or in Millennium Brokerage House	Registered pledge (ultimately) and Ordinary pledge (as temporary collateral) Ordinary pledge
Mortgage	Residential properties	Mortgage
	(apartment complexes, houses, apartments, farm house in the case of farming land) Commercial real estate (offices, storage space, stores, service facilities, hotels)	and Registered pledge and Ownership transfer (conditionally) - if collateral is established on parts of real property [e.g. devices, specialized equipment, machinery, production lines permanently connected to land or to a building which, if dismantled, will compromise the building's structure or
Material	Vehicles, including cars, construction equipment built on car chassis, other vehicles (e.g. semi-trailers and trailers and truck tractors)	materially reduce the value of collateral being dismantled (e.g. utilities, elevators)] Registered pledge and ownership transfer (temporary) Registered pledge for future collateral and ownership transfer (temporary)
	Fleet consisting of cars	Registered pledge and ownership transfer (temporary)
	Independent specialized hardware and machinery	Registered pledge and ownership transfer (temporary) Ownership transfer
	Production lines	Registered pledge and ownership transfer (temporary) Ownership transfer
	Collection of fixed proofs including specialized	·
	Collection of fixed assets including specialized equipment and machinery	Registered pledge and ownership transfer (temporary)
	Inventory	Registered pledge and ownership transfer (temporary)

Туре	Kind	Legal form
		Ownership transfer
Receivables	Receivables under contracts pertaining to the client's business activity and lease.	Assignment of contractual receivables
	Receivables from permanent cooperation with specified business partners	Assignment of receivables from permanent cooperation with specified business partners
Guarantees	Bank guarantee	Bank guarantee
and sureties	Surety	Surety under Civil Law Promissory note surety

### CRR Article 453.d

The Group does not use any guarantees and credit derivatives as risk protection instruments in the capital requirement calculation process.

### > CRR Article 453.e

The Group notices concentration related to credit risk mitigation with respect to collaterals for loans in the form of a mortgage. Loans secured by real estate accounted for ca. 60% of the total loan portfolio at the end of 2014, including PLN loans 21% of the portfolio (ca. PLN 9.3 billion), and loans denominated in CHF 39% (ca. PLN 17.7 billion). The main risk factor associated with this protection are:

- 1) an increase in the exchange rate of CHF / PLN,
- 2) a decrease in the value of mortgage.

Both of these factors contribute to increase of average LTV ratio (the ratio of loan to value of collateral) and the resulting increase in the value of loans, where the value of the LTV is greater than 100% and a deterioration of capital adequacy. The first risk factor increases the DTI ratio (the ratio of debt-to-income for a customer) and it leads also to deterioration of liquidity.

The Group identifies, measures, monitors and controls continuously above risk factors. Conservative liquidity strategy is used, which provides for the maintenance of liquid assets buffer for unexpected changes in exchange rates. Capital plan provides for the maintenance of capital adequacy at a satisfactory level in the coming years. Both plans - Liquidity and Capital -account for stress tests assuming a significant appreciation of the exchange rate of CHF / PLN. The level of non-performing loans is regularly monitored and in case of potential problems with debt repayment customer is contacted in order to apply the right solution, suitable to his financial capability. The quality of loans secured by real estate remains at a high level.

- > CRR Article 453.f, 453.g not applicable
- > CRR Article 454 not applicable
- > CRR Article 455 not applicable

### XVIII. Declaration of the Management Board

The Management Board of Bank Millennium SA hereby represents that the findings described in the Disclosures are true to the facts and the risk management systems put in place are adequate with regard to the risk profile and strategy of the Group and Bank Millennium SA.

#### SIGNATURES

Date	Name and Surname	Position/Function	Signature
02.09.2015	Joao Bras Jorge	Chairman of the	
02.09.2015	Fernando Bicho	Management Board Deputy Chairman of	
02.09.2015	Fernando Bicho	the Management Board	
	Wojciech Haase	Member of the Management Board	
02.09.2015	Andrzej Gliński	Member of the Management Board	
02.09.2015	Maria Jose Campos	Member of the Management Board	
02.09.2015	Michał Gajewski	Member of the Management Board	